arXiv:1605.04124v1 [math.GT] 13 May 2016

On the Witten asymptotic conjecture for Seifert manifolds

Laurent Charles *

May 16, 2016

Abstract

We give a new proof of Witten asymptotic conjecture for Seifert manifolds with non vanishing Euler class and one exceptional fiber. Our method is based on semiclassical analysis on a two dimensional phase space torus. We prove that the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant of a Seifert manifold is the scalar product of two Lagrangian states, and we estimate this scalar product in the large level limit. The leading order terms of the expansion are naturally given in terms of character varieties, the Chern-Simons invariants and some symplectic volumes. For the analytic part, we establish some singular stationary phase lemma for discrete oscillatory sums.

Witten asymptotic conjecture sets up a bridge between quantum and classical invariants. It predicts that the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant of a closed 3-manifold M for a given group compact G has an asymptotic expansion in the large level limit, the leading terms of this expansion being function of the Chern-Simons invariant, the Reidemeister torsion and the spectral flow of the representation of $\pi_1(M)$ into G. This conjecture has been recently settled for some hyperbolic manifolds [CM15a], [CM15b] and [Cha16]. It was also checked for many Seifert manifolds [FG91], [Jef92], [Roz95], [Roz96], [LR99], [Han05], [HT02], [Hik05], [BW05], [And13], [AH12]

In this paper, we come back to the Seifert case and propose a new approach, more conceptual than the previous ones. In particular, the character variety of M and the Chern-Simons invariant appear naturally in the proof. Furthermore, we compute the leading terms of the amplitudes corresponding to irreducible representations as symplectic volumes of some moduli spaces,

*Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu-Paris give gauche (UMR 7586), Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, F-75005 France.

which is a new result. In the companion paper [CJ15], it is proved that these symplectic volumes are actually integrals of Reidemeister torsion.

To explain briefly our strategy, assume that M is obtained by gluing some solid tori $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ to $\Sigma \times S^1$ where Σ is a compact connected orientable surface with n boundary components. The WRT invariant of $\Sigma \times S^1$ is an element of a vector space associated to the boundary $\partial\Sigma \times S^1$. This vector space may be viewed as the quantization of the character variety of $\partial\Sigma \times S^1$. We will deduce from Verlinde formula and Riemann-Roch theorem that the WRT invariant of $\Sigma \times S^1$ is a Lagrangian state supported by the character variety of $\Sigma \times S^1$. Similarly, it is known that the WRT invariant of $T_1 \cup \ldots \cup T_n$ is also a Lagrangian state. So the WRT invariant of M is the scalar product of two Lagrangian states. This can be estimated by using some pairing formula, when the Lagrangian submanifolds supporting the states intersect transversally. This transversality assumption is satisfied when M has a non vanishing Euler number. Unfortunately, some difficulties arise because the character varieties of $\Sigma \times S^1$ has singularities, which lead us to estimate some singular oscillatory sums. For the sake of simplicity, we restrict ourselves in this paper to Seifert manifolds with one exceptional fiber and the group SU(2).

Statement of the main result

Let S be the oriented Seifert manifold with unnormalized invariant $(g; (a, b))$, where g is an integer $\geqslant 2$ and a, b are two coprime integers such that $a \neq 0$ and $b \geqslant 1$. Recall that S is obtained from a genus g oriented surface Σ with boundary a circle C, by gluing a solid torus T to $\Sigma \times S^1$ in such a way that the homology class of a meridian of the boundary of T is sent to $a[C] + b[S^1]$.

Let $\mathcal{M}(S)$ be the space of conjugacy classes of group morphisms from $\pi_1(S)$ to SU(2). Let $X = \pi_0(\mathcal{M}(S))$ be the set of connected components. Introduce the functions $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{M}(S) \to [0, \pi]$ given by

$$\alpha([\rho]) = \arccos\big(\tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\rho(C))\big), \quad \beta([\rho]) = \arccos\big(\tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\rho(S^1))\big)$$

These functions are actually constant on each component of $\mathcal{M}(S)$. We denote again by α and β the induced maps from X to $[0, \pi]$. They allow to distinguish between the components, that is the joint map $(\alpha, \beta) : X \to [0, \pi]^2$ is one-to-one.

We say that a component of $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is abelian if it contains only abelian representations, and irreducible otherwise. We will divide X into 4 disjoint subsets: X_1 is the set of abelian components, whereas X_2, X_3, X_4 are the

	α	β	type	#	$\frac{1}{2}$dimension
X_1	0	$\neq 0, \pi$	abelian	$\mathrm{E}(\frac{b-1}{2})$	g
X_2	$\neq 0, \pi$	0 or π	irreducible	$\lvert a\rvert - 1$	$3g-2$
X_3	0	0 or π	irred/abelian	2 if b is even 1 otherwise	$3g-3$
X_4	1	0 or π	irreducible	1 if b is odd 0 otherwise	$3g-3$

Table 1: Characteristics of the components of $\mathcal{M}(S)$

sets of irreducible components x such that $\alpha(x) \neq 0, 1$, $\alpha(x) = 0$, $\alpha(x) = 1$ respectively. All the components in the same X_i have the same dimension. In table 1, we indicate for each i the possible values of α and β on X_i, the cardinal of X_i and the dimension of its elements.

For any $\rho \in \mathcal{M}(S)$, we denote by $\mathrm{CS}(\rho) \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ the Chern-Simons invariant of ρ, cf. Equation (37) for a precise definition. The Chern-Simons function CS is constant on each component of $\mathcal{M}(S)$. We denote again by CS the induced map from X to $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$

For any $t \in [0, 1]$, let $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, t)$ denote the space of conjugacy classes of group morphism ρ from $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ to $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ such that $\mathrm{tr}(\rho(C)) = \cos(2\pi t)$. This space is a symplectic manifold. We denote by $v_g(t)$ its symplectic volume.

Theorem 0.1. *We have the full asymptotic expansion*

$$Z_k(S) = \sum_{x \in X} e^{ik\,\mathrm{CS}(x)} k^{n(x)} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} k^{-\ell} a_\ell(x) + \sum_{x \in X_3} k^{m(x)} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} k^{-\ell} b_\ell(x) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty})$$

where the coefficients $a_\ell(x)$, $b_\ell(x)$ are complex numbers. The exponents $n(x)$ and the leading coefficients $a_0(x)$ are given in table 2 according to whether x belong to X_1, X_2, X_3 or X_4. Furthermore, if $x \in X_3$

$$m(x) = 2g - \tfrac{3}{2}, \qquad b_0(x) = e^{i\pi/4} i^g b^{g-3/2} a^{-g} \pi^{-g+1} \frac{\sqrt{2}(g-1)!}{(2(g-1))!}.$$

The novelty in this result is the expression of the leading coefficients $a_0(x)$ and $b_0(x)$. In the paper [CJ15], it is proved that $a_0(x)$ is actually equal to the integral of a Reidemeister torsion, in agreement with the Witten asymptotic conjecture. Comparing Tables 1 and 2, we also see that the exponent $n(x)$ is equal to half the dimension for an irreducible component, and half the dimension minus 1/2 for the abelian components, in agreement with the prediction in [FG91].

	$n(x)$	$a_0(x)$
X_1	$g-1/2$	$\frac{2\pi^{g-1/2}}{\sqrt{b}}\big[\sin(\alpha(x))\big]^{-2g+1}\sin\big(c\alpha(x)\big)$
X_2	$3g-2$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}v_g\big(\beta(x)/\pi\big)\sin\big(d\beta(x)\big)$
X_3	$3g-3$	$i(4\pi)^{-1}a^{-3/2}v_g'(0)$
X_4	$3g-3$	$(4\pi)^{-1}a^{-3/2}v_g'(1)$

Table 2: The leading exponents and coefficients

All the components of $\mathcal{M}(S)$ are smooth manifolds except the ones in X_3 which have a singular stratum of abelian representations. For these singular components, we have an additional term in the asymptotic expansion, the series $\sum k^{-\ell}b_\ell(x)$. We don't know any geometric expression for $b_0(x)$ or $m_0(x)$. For instance, since the singular strata have the same dimension as the abelian component in X_1, we could expect that $m(x)$ is equal to $n(y)$, $y \in X_1$; but it is not the case. As a last remark, we haven't tried to determine the signs of the coefficients and to understand the spectral flow contribution.

Comparison with earlier results

The main reference on the subject is certainly the article [Roz95] by Rozansky, where the author first shows that $Z_k(S)$ has an asymptotic expansion, the contribution of irreducible representations being presented in a residue form. In a second part, by comparing various expansions of path integrals, these residues are formally identified with Riemann-Roch number of moduli spaces, cf. Conjecture 5.1 and Proposition 5.3 of [Roz95]. The connection with Theorem 0.1 is that these Riemann-Roch number are approximated at first order by the symplectic volumes $v_g(t)$.

To compare with the previous articles on Witten asymptotic conjecture for Seifert manifolds, our proof has the advantage that the set $X = \pi_0(\mathcal{M}(S))$ and the various invariants appear naturally. To the contrary, in most papers on the subject, it is first proved that $Z_k(S)$ is a sum of oscillatory terms with an explicit computation of the phases. Independently, one determines the set X and the corresponding Chern-Simons invariants. After that, a one-to-one correspondence between the components of $\mathcal{M}(S)$ and the various terms of the asymptotic expansion is established, such that

the Chern-Simons invariant of a component is equal to the corresponding phase.

The identification between the amplitudes and the Reidemeister torsion has been done in a few cases similarly by comparing the results of two independent computations. One exception is the paper [AH12], where everything is computed intrinsically, but the Seifert manifolds covered in [AH12] have all a vanishing Euler number, so they form a family disjoint to the Seifert manifolds we consider.

The strategy we follow is inspired by our previous works [Cha16] and [CM15a], [CM15b] in collaboration with J. Marché , where we proved some generalized Witten conjecture for some manifolds with non empty boundary. In [CM15a], [CM15b], we considered the complement of the figure eight knot and our main tool was some q-difference relations. The paper [Cha16] was devoted some mapping cylinders of pseudo Anosov diffeomorphisms and our main tool was the Hitchin connection. In the present paper, we prove a generalized Witten conjecture for the manifold $\Sigma \times S^1$. The main ingredients we use are the Verlinde formula and the Riemann-Roch theorem.

Sketch of the proof

The Seifert manifold S being obtained by gluing a solid torus T to $\Sigma \times S^1$, $Z_k(S)$ is the scalar product of two vectors $Z_k(T)$ and $Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$ of the Hermitian vector space $V_k(\partial\Sigma \times S^1)$. This vector space has a canonical orthonormal basis $(e_\ell,\ \ell = 1, \dots, k-1)$. By [FG91], the coefficients of $Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$ in this basis are the Verlinde numbers $N_\ell^{g,k}$.

These numbers can be computed in several ways. First, $N_\ell^{g,k}$ is the number of admissible colorings of a pants decomposition of Σ. However this elementary way is not very useful to study the large k limit. At least, we learn that $N_\ell^{g,k}$ vanishes when ℓ is even. Second, the $N_\ell^{g,k}$ are Riemann-Roch numbers associated to the symplectic manifolds $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, s)$ introduced above. This implies that for any odd ℓ satisfying $1 < \ell < k-1$, we have

$$N_\ell^{g,k} = \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{3g-2} \sum_{n=0}^{3g-2} k^{-n} Q_{g,n}\Big(\frac{\ell}{k}\Big) \tag{1}$$

where the $Q_{g,n}$ are smooth function on $]0,1[$, $Q_{g,0}(t)$ being the symplectic volume $v_g(t)$. Third, by Verlinde formula, we have

$$N_\ell^{g,k} = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} S_{m,1}^{1-2g} S_{m,\ell} \quad \text{where} \quad S_{m,p} = \Big(\frac{2}{k}\Big)^{1/2} \sin\Big(\frac{\pi m p}{k}\Big). \tag{2}$$

Introduce the Hermitian space $\mathcal{H}_k := \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z}}$ and denote by $(\Psi_\ell, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z})$ its canonical basis. The family $(\mathcal{H}_k,\ k \in \mathbb{N})$ may be viewed as the quantization of a two dimensional torus $M = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2 \ni (x, y)$. This has the meaning that $(\mathcal{H}_k)$ may be identified with a space of holomorphic sections of the k-th power of a prequantum bundle over M. In this context some families $(\xi_k \in \mathcal{H}_k,\ k \in \mathbb{N})$ concentrating in a precise way on a 1-dimensional submanifold of M are called Lagrangian states.

We will consider $V_k(\partial\Sigma \times S^1)$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{H}_k := \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z}}$ by setting $e_\ell = (\Psi_\ell - \Psi_{-\ell})/\sqrt{2}$. We will prove that $(Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1), k \in \mathbb{N})$ is a Lagrangian state supported by a Lagrangian submanifold of M.

To do this, we will establish and use the following characterization of Lagrangian states. Let $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x_0 < x_1 < x_0 + 1$ and φ be a function in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(]x_0, x_1[, \mathbb{R})$. Let U be the open set $]x_0, x_1[\times\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ of M and Γ be the Lagrangian submanifold $\{(x, \varphi'(x));\ x \in]x_0, x_1[\}$ of U. Then a family $(\xi_k = \sum \xi_k(\ell)\Psi_\ell, k \in \mathbb{N})$ is a Lagrangian state over U supported by Γ if and only if

$$\xi_k(2kx) = k^{-1/2+N} e^{4i\pi k\varphi(x)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} k^{-n} f_n(x) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty})$$

where the f_n are smooth functions on $]x_0, x_1[$. This formula may be viewed as a discrete analogue of the WKB expression, the function φ is a generating function of Γ, the leading order term f_0 of the amplitude determines the symbol of the Lagrangian state.

By this characterization, we deduce from (1) that $Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$ is the sum of two Lagrangian states over $M \setminus \{x = 0 \text{ or } 1/2\}$, supported respectively by $\{y = 0\}$ and $\{y = 1/2\}$. Indeed, we insert a factor $(1 - (-1)^\ell)/2$ in the right hand side of (1) so that the equation is valid for even and odd ℓ, and we use that for $\ell = 2kx$, $(-1)^\ell = e^{4i\pi kx/2}$. To complete this description on a neighborhood of $\{x = 0 \text{ or } 1/2\}$, we will perform a discrete Fourier transform. By Verlinde formula (2), we easily get

$$Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1) = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2i} \sum_{m \in (\mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z})\setminus\{0,k\}} S_{m,1}^{1-2g} \Phi_m \tag{3}$$

where (Φ_m) is the basis of $\mathcal{H}_k$ given by $\Phi_m = (2k)^{-1/2} \sum_\ell e^{i\pi\ell m/k} \Psi_\ell$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z}$. A similar characterization of Lagrangian state as above holds, where we exchange x and y and replace the coefficients in the basis (Ψ_ℓ) by the ones in (Φ_m). We deduce from (3) that $Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$ is Lagrangian on $M \setminus \{y = 0 \text{ or } 1/2\}$ supported by $\{x = 0\}$.

Gathering these results, we conclude that $Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$ is Lagrangian on the open set $M \setminus \{(0,1),(0,1/2)\}$ and supported by $\{x=0\} \cup \{y=0\} \cup \{y=1/2\}$. There is no similar description on a neighborhood of $(0,0)$ and $(0,1/2)$ because the circle $\{x=0\}$ intersects with $\{y=0\}$ and $\{y=1/2\}$ at these points.

By [CM15a], the state $Z_k(T)$ is Lagrangian supported by the circle $\{y = ax/b\}$. The scalar product of two Lagrangian states supported on Lagrangian manifolds Γ, Γ' which intersects transversally, has an asymptotic expansion, and we can compute geometrically the leading order terms, each intersection point of $\Gamma \cap \Gamma'$ having a contribution [Cha10]. From this, we obtain Theorem 0.1, except for the contribution of X_3 which corresponds to the singular points $(0,0)$, $(0,1/2)$.

Actually, a large portion of this paper will be devoted to the contribution of X_3 in Theorem 0.1. On one hand we will establish some singular stationary phase lemma for discrete oscillatory sum. On the other hand we will prove several properties of the functions $Q_{g,n}$ in (1): first $Q_{g,n}$ vanishes for odd n, second $Q_{g,2m}$ is a polynomial of degree $2(g-m)-1$, third the even part of $Q_{g,2m}$ is a multiple of the monomial $x^{2(g-m-1)}$ and the coefficient of this multiple with be explicitly computed for $m=0$.

To prove these facts, we will study the discrete Fourier transform of the family $(\sin^{-m}(\pi\ell/k),\ \ell \in \mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z})$ in the semiclassical limit $k \to \infty$. These families may be viewed as discrete analogue of the homogeneous distributions and are interesting by themselves. Then using Verlinde formula (2), we will recover the expression (1) and obtain the above properties of the $Q_{g,n}$. Some of these properties also have symplectic proofs. For instance the fact that the $Q_{g,n}$ are polynomials is a consequence of Duistermaat-Heckman theorem by introducing some extended moduli space as in [Jef94]. The fact that the $Q_{g,n}$ vanish for odd n may be deduced from Riemann Roch theorem by computing the characteristic class of the $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, s)$, and expressing the Riemann Roch number in terms of A-genus instead of the Todd class.

To finish this overview of the proof of Theorem 0.1, let us briefly explain the topological interpretation of the previous computation. For any topological space T, let $\mathcal{M}(T)$ be the space of conjugacy classes of group morphism $\pi_1(T) \to \mathrm{SU}(2)$. We have a natural identification between $\mathcal{M}(\partial\Sigma \times S^1)$ and the quotient N of $M = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ by the involution $(x,y) \to (-x,-y)$. This identification restricts to a bijection between:

1. the projection of $\{x=0\} \cup \{y=0\} \cup \{y=1/2\}$ and the image of the restriction map $r : \mathcal{M}(\Sigma \times S^1) \to \mathcal{M}(\partial\Sigma \times S^1)$
2. the projection of $\{y = ax/b\}$ and the image of the restriction map

$$r' : \mathcal{M}(T) \to \mathcal{M}(\partial\Sigma \times S^1).$$

Finally $\mathcal{M}(S)$ may be viewed as the fiber product of r and r', its connected components being the fibers of the projection $\mathcal{M}(S) \to \mathcal{M}(\partial\Sigma \times S^1)$. So



Figure 1: Character variety intersections

the different part of the asymptotic expansion of $\langle Z_k(T), Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)\rangle$ are naturally indexed by $X = \pi_0(\mathcal{M}(S))$. In the decomposition $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_4$ used in Theorem 0.1, X_1 correspond to the points of M such that $x = 0$ and $y \neq 0, 1/2$, X_2 to $y = 0$ or $1/2$ and $x \neq 0, 1/2$, X_3 to $(0,0)$, $(0,1/2)$ and X_4 to $(1/2,0)$, $(1/2,1/2)$. As we will see, the Chern-Simons invariants appears naturally by interpreting the prequantum bundle of L as the Chern-Simons bundle. Furthermore the expressions for the coefficients a_0 come from the leading order term $Q_{g,0}(t) = v_g(t)$ in (1), the $(1-2g)$-th power of the sinus in (2) and the coefficients a, b of the surgery.

Outline of the paper

In section 1, we recall how we compute the WRT invariants of a Seifert manifold in terms of the Verlinde numbers. In section 2, we study the discrete Fourier transform of the negative power of a sinus and we apply this to the Verlinde numbers. In section 3, we recall some basic facts on the quantization of tori and their Lagrangian states. Furthermore we establish a criterion characterizing the Lagrangian states in terms of a generating function of the associated Lagrangian manifold. In section 4, we prove that $Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$ is a Lagrangian state and deduce the asymptotic behavior of $Z_k(S)$ by using some singular stationary phase lemma proved in the Section 5. Finally, Section 6 is devoted to the geometric interpretation of the results.

1 The Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant of a Seifert manifold

For any integer $k \geqslant 2$ and any closed oriented 3-manifold M, we denote by $Z_k(M)$ the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev (WRT) invariant of M for the group SU(2) at level $k-2$. We are interested in the large level limit, $k \to \infty$. Since we haven't chosen a spin structure on M, the sequence $Z_k(M)$, $k \geqslant 2$ is only defined up to multiplication by τ_k^n where $\tau_k = e^{\frac{3i\pi}{4} - \frac{3i\pi}{2k}}$.

In the case M is a Seifert manifold, it is easy to compute $Z_k(M)$ by using a surgery presentation of M, cf. Section 1 of [FG91]. Let us explain this. Let Σ be a compact oriented surface with boundary a circle C. Let D be a disc and S^1 be the standard circle. Consider the Seifert manifold S obtained by gluing the solid torus $D \times S^1$ to $\Sigma \times S^1$ along a preserving orientation diffeomorphism $\varphi : \partial D \times S^1 \to C \times S^1$

$$S = (\Sigma \times S^1) \cup_\varphi (D \times S^1)^-.$$

The WRT invariant of a manifold obtained by gluing two manifolds along their boundary may be computed as a scalar product in the setting of topological quantum field theory, [Wit89] [RT91]. In our particular case, we have

$$Z_k(S) = \big\langle Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1), \rho_k(\varphi)(Z_k(D \times S^1)) \big\rangle_{V_k(C \times S^1)} \tag{4}$$

Here $V_k(C \times S^1)$ is the Hermitian vector space associated to the torus $C \times S^1$. It has dimension $k-1$. To any oriented basis (μ, λ) of $H_1(C \times S^1)$ is associated an orthornormal basis of $V_k(C \times S^1)$, called the Verlinde basis. Let us choose $\mu = [C]$, $\lambda = [S^1]$ and denote by e_ℓ, $\ell = 1, \ldots, k-1$ the corresponding basis.

The bracket in Equation (4) denote the scalar product of $V_k(C \times S_1)$. Furthermore for any compact oriented 3-manifold M with boundary $C \times S^1$, we denote by $Z_k(M) \in V_k(C \times S^1)$ the corresponding vector defined in the Chern-Simons topological quantum field theory.

Lemma 1.1. *One has $Z_k(D \times S^1) = e_1$ and*

$$Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} N_\ell^{g,k} e_\ell,$$

where g is the genus of Σ and $N_\ell^{g,k}$ is the dimension of the vector space associated in Chern-Simons quantum field theory to any genus g surface equipped with one marked point colored by ℓ.

In our convention, the set of colors is $\mathcal{C}_k = \{1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$, the color ℓ corresponding to the ℓ-dimensional irreducible representation of SU(2).

Proof. Recall first that the Verlinde basis consists of the vectors given by

$$e_\ell = Z_k(D \times S^1, x, \ell)$$

where x is the banded link $[0, 1/2] \times S^1$ of $D \times S^1$. Since $\ell = 1$ is the trivial color in our convention, $Z_k(D \times S^1) = e_1$. Let us compute the coefficients of $Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$. We have

$$\langle Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1), e_\ell \rangle = Z_k(\overline{\Sigma} \times S^1, x, \ell)$$

where $\overline{\Sigma}$ is the closed surface $\Sigma \cup_C D^-$. Viewing $\overline{\Sigma} \times S^1$ as the gluing of $\overline{\Sigma} \times [0, 1]$ with itself, we obtain that $Z_k(\overline{\Sigma} \times S^1, x, \ell)$ is the trace of the identity of $V_k(\overline{\Sigma}, \ell)$. □

There are several ways to compute the numbers $N_\ell^{g,k}$. First $N_\ell^{g,k}$ is the number of admissible colorings of any pants decomposition of Σ. But this elementary way is not very useful to study the large k limit. Alternatively we can use the Verlinde formula.

Theorem 1.2. *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\ell = 1, \ldots, k-1$ and $g \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have*

$$N_\ell^{g,k} = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} S_{m,1}^{1-2g} S_{m,\ell}$$

where $S_{m,p} = \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^{1/2} \sin(\pi \frac{mp}{k})$.

Later we will also use the fact that $N_\ell^{g,k}$ can be computed with the Riemann-Roch theorem, cf. Theorem 6.1.

It remains to explain the meaning of the ρ_k appearing in Equation (4). ρ_k is the representation of the mapping class group of $C \times S^1$ in $V_k(C \times S^1)$ provided by the topological quantum field theory. It is actually a projective representation. More precisely, $\rho_k(\varphi)$ is well-defined up to a power of the constant τ_k defined above. Using the basis (μ, λ), the mapping class group of $C \times S^1$ is identified with $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Then we have

$$\rho_k(T) e_\ell = e^{\frac{i\pi(\ell^2-1)}{2k}} e_\ell, \qquad \text{if} \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\rho_k(S)e_\ell = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k}} \sum_{\ell'=1}^{k-1} \sin\Big(\frac{\pi \ell \ell'}{k}\Big) e_{\ell'}, \qquad \text{if } S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the matrices S and T, the representation is completely determined by these formulas.

2 The discrete Fourier transform of a negative power of sinus

Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $R_k = (\frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z})/2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{T}$. Introduce for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the function Ξ_m from R_k to $\mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\Xi_{m,k}(x) = (i)^{-m} \sum_{y \in R_k \setminus \{0,1\}} \big[\sin(\pi y)\big]^{-m} e^{ik\pi yx}, \qquad \forall x \in R_k. \tag{5}$$

This function may be viewed as the discrete Fourier transform of $y \to \big[\sin(\pi y)\big]^{-m}$. We are interested in its behavior as k tends to infinity.

Theorem 2.1. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exists a polynomial function $P_m \in \mathbb{Q}[k,x]$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $x \in [0,2] \cap \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\Xi_{m,k}(x) = \big(1 + (-1)^{kx+m}\big) P_m(k,x).$$

Furthermore, P_m is a linear combination of the monomials $k^q x^p$ where p, q run over the integers satisfying $0 \leqslant p \leqslant q \leqslant m$.

The proof, given in Section 2.1, allows to compute inductively the polynomials P_m. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(k,x) &= k(-x+1), \\ P_2(k,x) &= k^2\big(-\tfrac{1}{2}x^2 + x - \tfrac{1}{3}\big) + \tfrac{1}{3}, \\ P_3(k,x) &= k^3\big(-\tfrac{1}{6}x^3 + \tfrac{1}{2}x^2 - \tfrac{1}{3}x\big) + k\big(\tfrac{1}{2}x - \tfrac{1}{2}\big). \end{aligned}$$

In Section 2.2, we will establish further properties of the polynomials P_m. First, each P_m is a linear combination of monomials $k^q x^p$ where $0 \leqslant p \leqslant q \leqslant m$ and $q \equiv m$ modulo 2. Second we will describe the singularity of $\Xi_{m,k}$ at $x = 0$ as follows. Write $P_m = P_m^+ + P_m^-$ where P_m^+ (resp. P_m^-) is a linear combination of the $k^q x^{2\ell}$ (resp. $k^q x^{2\ell+1}$). Then

$$\frac{1}{2}\big(P_m(k,x) - P_m(k,2+x)\big) = \begin{cases} P_m^-(k,x) & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ P_m^+(k,x) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, if $m \geqslant 1$ is even (resp. odd), P_m^- (resp. P_m^+) is a linear combination of the monomials $k^{m-2\ell}x^{m-2\ell-1}$, with $\ell = 0, 1 \ldots$. The coefficient of $k^m x^{m-1}$ is $1/(m-1)!$. In Section 2.3, we will apply this to the counting function $N_\ell^{g,k}$.

2.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1

In the sequel, everything depends on k. Nevertheless, to reduce the amount of notation, the subscript k will often be omitted. Introduce the space $\mathcal{H} := \mathbb{C}^{R_k}$ and its scalar product

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \frac{1}{2k}\sum_{x\in R_k} f(x)\overline{g(x)}, \qquad f, g \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The endomorphisms T, L and Δ

Introduce the endomorphisms T, L and Δ of $\mathcal{H}$ given by

$$(Tf)(x) = (-1)^{kx} f(x), \qquad (Lf)(x) := f\Big(x + \frac{1}{k}\Big), \qquad \Delta = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(L - L^{-1}\big)$$

Observe that T is unitary, $T^2 = \mathrm{id}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus^\perp \mathcal{H}^-$ where $\mathcal{H}^\pm = \ker(T \mp \mathrm{id})$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{H}^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^-$) consists of the functions vanishing on the $x \in R_k$ such that kx is odd (resp. even). The orthogonal projector of $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\mathcal{H}^\pm$ is $\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{id} \pm T)$.

Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ be the function constant equal to 1, $u_1 = Tu_0$ and $u_0^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(u_0 \pm u_1)$. Denote by $\mathcal{H}_0^\pm$ the subspace of $\mathcal{H}^\pm$ orthogonal to $u_0^\pm$.

Lemma 2.2. *The kernel of Δ is spanned by u_0 and u_1. Furthermore Δ restricts to a bijection from $\mathcal{H}_0^\pm$ to $\mathcal{H}_0^\mp$.*

Proof. We easily check that u_0 and u_1 belong to the kernel of Δ and that this kernel is 2-dimensional. Furthermore we have that $LT + TL = 0$, so that $\Delta T + T\Delta = 0$ and consequently $\Delta(\mathcal{H}^\pm) \subset \mathcal{H}^\mp$. Since L is unitary, Δ is skew-Hermitian. So the range of Δ is the subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ orthogonal to u_0 and u_1. □

Discrete Fourier transform

Let $(u_y,\ y \in R_k)$ be the orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$

$$u_y(x) = e^{ik\pi yx}, \quad \forall x \in R_k$$

When $y = 0$ or 1, we recover the functions u_0 and u_1 introduced previously. Denote by $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ the discrete Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(y) = \langle f, u_y \rangle, \qquad \forall y \in R_k.$$

Using the relations $Tu_y = u_{y+1}$ and $Lu_y = e^{i\pi y} u_y$, we deduce that for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $y \in R_k$

$$\mathcal{F}(Tf)(y) = \mathcal{F}(f)(y-1), \qquad \mathcal{F}(\Delta f)(y) = i \sin(\pi y) \mathcal{F}(f)(y). \tag{6}$$

By definition of Ξ_m, Equation (5), its discrete Fourier transform is given by

$$\mathcal{F}(\Xi_m)(y) = \begin{cases} \big[i \ \sin(\pi y)\big]^{-m} \text{ if } y \neq 0, 1 \\ 0 \qquad \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that $\mathcal{F}(\Xi_m)(y-1) = (-1)^m \mathcal{F}(\Xi_m)(y)$, so that $T\Xi_m = (-1)^m \Xi_m$. Furthermore $\mathcal{F}(\Xi_m)(0) = \mathcal{F}(\Xi_m)(1) = 0$ implies that Ξ_m is orthogonal to both u_0 and u_1. Hence, Ξ_m belongs to $\mathcal{H}_0^+$ or $\mathcal{H}_0^-$ according to whether m is even or odd. Furthermore we have that $\Delta \Xi_{m+1} = \Xi_m$. So we can inductively compute Ξ_m by inverting the operators $\Delta : \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm} \to \mathcal{H}_0^{\mp}$.

Let us first compute Ξ_0. We have

$$\Xi_0 = \Big(\textstyle\sum_{y \in R_k} u_y \Big) - (u_0 + u_1).$$

Furthermore $\sum_{y \in R_k} u_y = 2k\delta$ where $\delta(x) = 1$ if $x = 0$ and $\delta(x) = 0$ otherwise. So we obtain

$$\Xi_0(x) = 2k\delta(x) - 1 - (-1)^{kx}. \tag{7}$$

Let us compute the inverse of $\Delta : \mathcal{H}_0^- \to \mathcal{H}_0^+$. Denote by S_k the set of integers $\{1, \dots, k\}$. Let $\tilde{L}$ and L be the endomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^{S_k}$ defined by

$$\forall \ell \in S_k, \quad \tilde{L}(f)(\ell) = \sum_{m=1}^{\ell} f(m), \qquad L(f) = 2\tilde{L}(f) - \frac{2}{k} \sum_{1}^{k} \tilde{L}(f)(\ell)$$

Let us identify $\mathcal{H}^+$ and $\mathcal{H}^-$ with $\mathbb{C}^{S_k}$ by sending $g^{\pm} \in \mathcal{H}^{\pm}$ into $f^{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}^{S_k}$ given by

$$f^+(\ell) = g^+\Big(\frac{2(\ell-1)}{k}\Big), \qquad f^-(\ell) = g^-\Big(\frac{2\ell-1}{k}\Big). \tag{8}$$

Observe that the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_0^+$ and $\mathcal{H}_0^-$ get identified with the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{S_k}$ consisting of function with vanishing average.

Lemma 2.3. *The inverse of* $\Delta : \mathcal{H}_0^- \to \mathcal{H}_0^+$ *is* L.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\Delta}$ be the endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{S_k}$ corresponding to $\Delta : \mathcal{H}^- \to \mathcal{H}^+$ through the identifications $\mathcal{H}^- \simeq \mathbb{C}^{S_k}$ and $\mathcal{H}^+ \simeq \mathbb{C}^{S_k}$. A straightforward computation shows that for any $f \in \mathbb{C}^{S_k}$, we have

$$\tilde{\Delta} f(\ell) = \frac{1}{2}(f(\ell) - f(\ell - 1)), \qquad \ell \in S_k$$

with the convention that $f(0) = f(k)$. Assume that the average of f vanishes, so that $\tilde{L}f(k) = \tilde{L}f(0)$. So we have that $2\tilde{\Delta}\tilde{L}f = f$. Since $\tilde{\Delta}1 = 0$, it follows that $\tilde{\Delta}Lf = f$. Furthermore Lf has a vanishing average. □

Let us apply this to compute Ξ_1. By (7) and (8), the function $f_0^+ \in \mathbb{C}^{S_k}$ corresponding to Ξ_0 is given by $f_0^+(1) = 2k - 2$ and $f_0^+(\ell) = -2$ for $\ell = 2, \dots, k$. So that $\tilde{L}(f_0^+)(\ell) = 2k - 2\ell$ and $L(f_0^+)(\ell) = -4\ell + 2k + 2$. Inverting the second relation of (8), we obtain for any x of the form $(2\ell + 1)/k$,

$$g^-(x) = f^-\Big(\frac{kx + 1}{2}\Big) \tag{9}$$

which leads to $\Xi_1(x) = 2k(-x + 1)$. This proves Theorem 2.1 for $m = 1$.

Assume now that Theorem 2.1 has been proved for some even $m \geqslant 2$. So the restriction of Ξ_m to $[0, 2] \cap \frac{2}{k}\mathbb{Z}$ is a linear combination of the monomials $k^q x^p$ where $p \leqslant q \leqslant m$. Then, the function $f_m^+ \in \mathbb{C}^{S_k}$ corresponding to Ξ_m is a linear combination of the monomials $k^{q-p}\ell^p$ where $p \leqslant q \leqslant m$. Now, it is a well-known consequence of Euler-Maclaurin formula that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a polynomial Q_p with degree $p + 1$ and vanishing at 0 such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} m^p = Q_p(\ell), \qquad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N} \tag{10}$$

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}^{S_k}$ be given by $f(\ell) = \ell^p$. Then $\tilde{L}(f)(\ell) = Q_p(\ell)$. Applying (10) to the monomials of Q_p, we obtain a polynomial R_p of degree $p + 2$, vanishing at 0 and such that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\ell} Q_p(m) = R_p(\ell), \qquad \forall \ell \in \mathbb{N}$$

Consequently $L(f)(\ell) = 2Q_p(\ell) - 2k^{-1}R_p(k)$, so that

$$L(k^{q-p}f)(p) = 2k^{q-p}Q_p(\ell) - 2k^{q-p-1}R_p(k).$$

Since $R_p(0) = 0$, $k^{-1}R_p(k)$ is polynomial in k with degree $p+1$. This proves that $L(f_m)$ is a linear combination of the monomials $k^{q-p}\ell^p$ where $p \leqslant q \leqslant m+1$. Applying the relation (9), we obtain that the restriction of Ξ_{m+2} to $[0,2]\cap\frac{1}{k}(1+2\mathbb{Z})$ is a linear combination of the monomials $k^{q-p+p'}x^{p'}$ where $p' \leqslant p \leqslant q \leqslant m+1$. Equivalently it is a linear combination of the $k^q x^p$ where $p \leqslant q \leqslant m+1$, which proves Theorem 2.1 for $m+1$.

Similarly we can show that the result for m odd implies the result for $m+1$. To do this, we identify $\mathcal{H}_0^+$ and $\mathcal{H}_0^-$ with $\mathbb{C}^{S_k}$ by using the relations

$$f^+(\ell) = g^+\Big(\frac{2\ell}{k}\Big), \qquad f^-(\ell) = g^-\Big(\frac{2\ell-1}{k}\Big).$$

instead of (8). Then the inverse of $\Delta : \mathcal{H}_0^+ \to \mathcal{H}_0^-$ is still given by L. The remainder of the proof is unchanged.

2.2 Further properties of the polynomials P_m

For any m, let us write $P_m = P_m^+ + P_m^-$, where P_m^+ (resp. P_m^-) is a linear combination of the monomials $k^q x^{2\ell}$ (resp. $k^q x^{2\ell+1}$).

Proposition 2.4. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{2}\big(P_m(k,x) - P_m(k,2+x)\big) = \begin{cases} P_m^-(k,x) & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ P_m^+(k,x) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The discrete Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(\Xi_m)$ has the same parity as m, so the same holds for Ξ_m. This implies that for any $x \in [0,2] \cap \frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}$ such that kx has the same parity as m, we have

$$P_m(k,2-x) = (-1)^m P_m(k,x)$$

Since P_m is polynomial in k and x, this equality actually holds for any k and x. So we have

$$P_m(k,x) - P_m(k,2+x) = P_m(k,x) - (-1)^m P_m(k,-x),$$

which concludes the proof. □

Proposition 2.5. *For any $m \geqslant 2$, we have*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{-2\ell+1}}{(2\ell-1)!}\Big(\frac{d}{dx}\Big)^{2\ell-1} P_m(k,x) = P_{m-1}(k,x). \tag{11}$$

Furthermore, if m is even,

$$k\int_0^2 P_m(k,x)\,dx = 4\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\frac{B_{2\ell}}{(2\ell)!}\Big(\frac{2}{k}\Big)^{2\ell-1}\Big(\frac{d}{dx}\Big)^{2\ell-1}P_m^-(k,0) \tag{12}$$

where the B_ℓ are the Bernouilli numbers. If m is odd,

$$P_m(k,\tfrac{1}{k}) = P_{m-1}(k,0). \tag{13}$$

Proof. Since $\Delta\Xi_m = \Xi_{m-1}$, we have for any $x\in[0,2]\cap\frac{1}{k}\mathbb{Z}$ such that kx has the same parity as $m-1$,

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\big(P_m(k,x+\tfrac{1}{k}) - P_m(k,x-\tfrac{1}{k})\big) = P_{m-1}(k,x).$$

P_m and P_{m-1} being polynomials the same equality holds for any x and k. We obtain Equation (11) by applying Taylor formula.

Recall that the average of Ξ_m vanishes. For even m this implies that $\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_m(k,\frac{2\ell}{k}) = 0$. By Euler-Maclaurin formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P_m(k,\tfrac{2\ell}{k}) &= \int_0^k P_m(k,\tfrac{2x}{k})dx + \frac{1}{2}\big(P_m(k,2)-P_m(k,0)\big)\\ &\quad+\sum_{\ell\geqslant 1}\frac{B_{2\ell}}{(2\ell)!}\Big(\frac{2}{k}\Big)^{2\ell-1}\Big[\Big(\frac{d}{dx}\Big)^{2\ell-1}P_m(k,2) - \Big(\frac{d}{dx}\Big)^{2\ell-1}P_m(k,0)\Big]\end{aligned}$$

Using that $P_m(k,2) = \Xi_{m,k}(0) = P_m(k,0)$ and Proposition 2.4, we obtain Equation (12).

If m is odd, $\Delta\Xi_m = \Xi_{m-1}$ implies that

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\big(P_m(k,\tfrac{1}{k}) - P_m(k,-\tfrac{1}{k})\big) = P_{m-1}(k,0).$$

Since Ξ_m is also odd, we have $P_m(k,-\frac{1}{k}) = -P_m(k,\frac{1}{k})$ which proves Equation (13). □

Proposition 2.5 allows to compute the P_m's inductively. Indeed, we have the following

Proposition 2.6. *For any $m\geqslant 2$, P_m is the unique solution in $\mathbb{C}[k,x]$ of Equations (11) and (12) (resp. (13)) if m is even (resp. odd).*

Proof. Write $P_m(k,x) = k^r Q_r(x) + k^{r-1}P_{r-1}(x) + \ldots + Q_0(x)$. Denote by D the derivation $\frac{d}{dx}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{-2\ell+1}}{(2\ell-1)!} D^{2\ell-1} P_m(k,\cdot) =& k^{r-1}DQ_r + k^{r-2}DQ_{r-1} + k^{r-3}(DQ_{r-2}+ \\ & \tfrac{1}{3!}D^3Q_r) + k^{r-4}(DQ_{r-3} + \tfrac{1}{3!}D^3Q_{r-1}) + \ldots \\ =& \sum_{\ell=0}^{r} k^{r-\ell-1}(DQ_{r-\ell} + R_\ell) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})\end{aligned}$$

where for any $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant r$, $R_\ell \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ only depends on $Q_r, Q_{r-1}, \ldots, Q_{r-\ell+1}$. So Equation (11) leads to a triangular system of equations for the $DQ_{r-\ell}$'s. We conclude that P_m is the unique solution of Equation (11) up to some polynomial in k. Arguing similarly, we prove that this latter polynomial is uniquely determined by Equation (12) or (13) according to the parity of m. □

Proposition 2.7. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, P_m is a linear combination of the monomials $k^q x^p$, where p, q run over the integers such that $p \leqslant q \leqslant m$ and q has the same parity of m.*

Proof. We have to prove that $P_m(-k,x) = (-1)^m P_m(k,x)$. Assume the result holds for $m-1$. Then we easily see that $P_m(-k,x)$ satisfies the Equations of Proposition 2.5. To check Equation (13) in the case m is odd, we use that $P_m(k,-\frac{1}{k}) = -P_m(k,\frac{1}{k})$. We conclude with Proposition 2.6. □

Proposition 2.8. *For any even $m \geqslant 2$ (resp. odd $m \geqslant 1$), P_m^- (resp. P_m^+) is a linear combination of the monomials $k^{m-2\ell}x^{m-2\ell-1}$, with $\ell = 0, 1 \ldots$. The coefficient of $k^m x^{m-1}$ is $1/(m-1)!$.*

Proof. Again the proof is by induction on m. Assume that m is even and write $A = P_m^-$, $B = P_{m-1}^+$. By Proposition 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}A(k,x) &= k^m A_m(x) + k^{m-2}A_{m-2}(x) + \ldots + A_0(x), \\ B(k,x) &= k^{m-1}B_{m-1}(x) + k^{m-3}B_{m-3}(x) + \ldots + kB_1(x).\end{aligned}$$

We deduce from Equation (11) that

$$\begin{aligned}DA_m = B_{m-1}, \qquad DA_{m-2} + \tfrac{1}{3!}D^3A_m = B_{m-3}, \qquad \ldots \\ DA_2 + \tfrac{1}{3!}D^3A_4 + \ldots, \tfrac{1}{(m-1)!}D^{m-1}A_m = B_1, \\ DA_0 + \tfrac{1}{3!}DA_2 + \ldots + \tfrac{1}{(m+1)!}D^{m+1}A_m = 0\end{aligned}$$

Assume that $B_\ell(x) = b_\ell x^{\ell-1}$. Then these equations imply that $A_\ell(x) = a_\ell x^{\ell-1} + a_\ell^0$. Since the A_ℓ's are odd, the constants a_ℓ^0 vanish.

Assume now that m is odd and that the results holds for $m-1$. Arguing as above with Proposition 2.7 and Equation (11), we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} P_m^+(k,x) =& k^m(c_m x^{m-1} + d_m) + k^{m-2}(c_{m-2}x^{m-3} + d_{m-2}) + \dots \\ &+ k^3(c_3 x^2 + d_3) + kc_1 \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.7, $P_{m-1}(k,0)$ is even. So Equation (13) implies that

$$P_m^+(k, \tfrac{1}{k}) = 0.$$

We deduce that the d_ℓ's vanish and $c_m + c_{m-2} + \dots + c_1 = 0$. □

2.3 Application to the counting function

As a consequence of Verlinde formula, we have the following

Lemma 2.9. *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\ell = 1, \dots, k-1$ and $g \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have*

$$N_\ell^{g,k} = C_g k^{g-1} \Xi_{2g-1}(\ell/k)$$

with $C_g = (-1)^{g-1}2^{-g}$.

Proof. We compute from Theorem 1.2

$$N_\ell^{g,k} = \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} S_{m,1}^{1-2g} S_{m,\ell} = \frac{1}{2i}\Big(\frac{2}{k}\Big)^{1/2} \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} S_{m,1}^{1-2g}(e^{i\pi\frac{m\ell}{k}} - e^{-i\pi\frac{m\ell}{k}})$$

setting $m = ky$ so that $S_{m,p} = \big(\frac{2}{k}\big)^{1/2}\sin(\pi y)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} =& \frac{1}{2i}\Big(\frac{2}{k}\Big)^{1-g} \sum_{y \in R_k \setminus \{0,1\}} \big[\sin(\pi y)\big]^{1-2g} e^{i\pi y\ell} \\ =& 2^{-g}(-k)^{g-1} i^{1-2g} \sum_{y \in R_k \setminus \{0,1\}} \big[\sin(\pi y)\big]^{1-2g} e^{i\pi y\ell} \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof. □

So we deduce from Theorem 2.1 and the result of Section 2.2 the following

Theorem 2.10. *Let $g \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then there exists a family of polynomial functions $P_{g,m} : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$, $m = 0, 1, \ldots, g-1$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and for any odd integer ℓ satisfying $1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant k-1$, we have*

$$N_\ell^{g,k} = \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{3g-2} \sum_{m=0}^{g-1} k^{-2m} P_{g,m}\Big(\frac{\ell}{k}\Big).$$

Furthermore $P_{g,m}$ has degree $2(g-m)-1$. The even part of $P_{g,m}$ is of the form $\lambda_{g,m} x^{2(g-m-1)}$. For $m = 0$, we have

$$\lambda_{g,0} = \frac{2C_g(2\pi)^{3g-2}}{(2(g-1))!}.$$

The polynomials $P_{g,m}$ will be expressed in Section 6.1 as integrals of characteristic classes on some moduli spaces. In particular $P_{g,0}(s)$ is a symplectic volume.

3 Geometric quantization of tori and semiclassical limit

3.1 The quantum spaces

Let (E, ω) be a real two-dimensional symplectic vector space. Let R be a lattice of E with volume 4π. Let $L_E = E \times \mathbb{C}$ be the trivial Hermitian line bundle over E. Endow L_E with the connection $d + \frac{1}{i}\alpha$ where $\alpha \in \Omega^1(E, \mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\alpha_x(y) = \frac{1}{2}\omega(x, y).$$

Consider the Heisenberg group $E \times U(1)$ with the product

$$(x, u).(y, v) = (x + y, uve^{i\omega(x,y)/2}) \tag{14}$$

This groups acts on L_E by preserving the metric and the connection, the action of (x, u) being given by formula (14) with $(y, v) \in L_E$. The group $E \times U(1)$ is actually the group of automorphisms of $(L_E, d + \frac{1}{i}\alpha)$ lifting the translations of E.

Since $\omega(R, R) \subset 4\pi\mathbb{Z}$, $R \times \{1\}$ is a subgroup of $E \times U(1)$. Let M be the torus E/R and L_M be the bundle $L_E/R \times \{1\}$. The symplectic form ω and the connection $d + \frac{1}{i}\alpha$ descend to M and L_E respectively. Let k be a positive integer. For any $x \in \frac{1}{2k}R$, the action of $(x, 1)$ on L_E^k commutes with the action of $R \times \{1\}$. This defines an action of $(x, 1)$ on L_M^k. Denote

by T_x^* the pull-back of the sections of L_M^k by the action of $(x,1)$. Observe that for any $x, y \in R$, we have

$$T_{x/2k}^* T_{y/2k}^* = e^{i\omega(x,y)/4k} T_{y/2k}^* T_{x/2k}^*.$$

Choose a linear complex structure j of E compatible with ω. This complex structure descends to M. Furthermore, L_M inherits a holomorphic structure compatible with the connection. The space $H^0(M, L_M^k)$ of holomorphic sections of L_M^k has dimension $2k$. The operators $T_{x/2k}^*$, $x \in R$ introduced above, preserve $H^0(M, L_M^k)$.

Let (δ, φ) be a half-form line, that is δ is a complex line and φ is an isomorphism from $\delta^{\otimes 2}$ to the canonical line K_j,

$$K_j = \{\alpha \in E^* \otimes \mathbb{C} / \alpha(j\cdot) = i\alpha\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_k = H^0(M, L_M^k) \otimes \delta = H^0(M, L_M^k \otimes \delta_M)$ where δ_M is the trivial line bundle $M \times \delta$. K_j has a natural scalar product given by $(\alpha, \beta) = i\alpha \wedge \overline{\beta}/\omega$. We endow δ with the scalar product making φ a unitary map. $\mathcal{H}_k$ has a scalar product defined by integrating the pointwise scalar product against ω.

Let (μ, λ) be a positive basis of R, so that $\omega(\mu, \lambda) = 4\pi$. It is a known result that $\mathcal{H}_k$ has an orthonormal basis $(\Psi_\ell,\ \ell \in \mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$T_{\mu/2k}^* \Psi_\ell = e^{i\pi\ell/k} \Psi_\ell, \qquad T_{\lambda/2k}^* \Psi_\ell = \Psi_{\ell+1}.$$

The only indeterminacy in the choice of this basis is the phase of Ψ_0. We will often use the following normalization

$$\Psi(0) = \lambda \sigma^k \otimes \Omega_\mu \qquad \text{with } \lambda > 0$$

Here $\sigma \in L_{M,[0]}$ is the vector send to 1 by the identification $L_{M,[0]} \simeq L_{E,0} = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$. Ω_μ is one of the two vectors in δ satisfying $\varphi(\Omega_\mu^2)(\mu) = 1$. We can explicitly compute the coefficient λ as an evaluation of the Riemann Theta function. It satisfies as k tends to infinity $\lambda = 1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-k/C})$ for some positive C.

Let S be the automorphism of L_E^k sending (x, u) into $(-x, u)$. This automorphism descends to an automorphism of L_M that we still denote by S. The subspace of alternating sections of $\mathcal{H}_k$ is by definition the eigenspace $\ker(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{H}_k} + S^k \otimes \mathrm{id}_\delta)$. It has dimension $k-1$ and admits as a basis the family $(\Psi_\ell - \Psi_{-\ell}, \ell = 1, \ldots, k)$.

3.2 Semi-classical notions

Consider the same data as above. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_k$, we denote by $|\xi| \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ the pointwise norm of ξ and by $\|\xi\| \in \mathbb{R}$ the norm defined previously, so $\|\xi\|^2 = \int_M |\xi|^2 \omega$.

We say that a family $\xi = (\xi_k \in \mathcal{H}_k, k \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ is *admissible* if there exists N and $C > 0$ such that for any k, $\|\xi_k\| \leqslant Ck^N$. Equivalently, ξ is admissible if there exists N and $C > 0$ such that for any k, $|\xi_k| \leqslant Ck^N$ on M.

The *microsupport* of an admissible family (ξ_k) is the subset $\mathrm{MS}(\xi)$ of M defined as follows: $x \notin \mathrm{MS}(\xi)$ if and only if there exists a neighborhood U of x and a sequence (C_N) such that for any integer N and $x \in U$, $|\xi(x)| \leqslant C_N k^{-N}$.

Let U be an open set of M. Let Γ be a one dimensional submanifold of U. Let Θ and σ be sections of $L_M \to \Gamma$ and $\delta_M \to \Gamma$ respectively. Assume that Θ is flat and that its pointwise norm is constant equal to 1. Let ξ be an admissible family. We say that the restriction of ξ to U is a *Lagrangian state* supported by Γ with associated sections (Θ, σ) if $\mathrm{MS}(\xi) \cap U \subset \Gamma$ and for any $x_0 \in \Gamma$, there exists an open neighborhood V of x_0 such that

$$\xi(x) = \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{1/4+N} E^k(x) f(x,k) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty}), \qquad x \in V \tag{15}$$

where the $\mathcal{O}$ is uniform on V and

- E is a section of $L_M \to V$ such that $E = \Theta$ on $\Gamma \cap V$, $|E| < 1$ outside of Γ, $\overline{\partial} E \equiv 0$ modulo a section vanishing to infinite order along Γ,
- $(f(.,k))$ is a sequence of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(V, \delta_M)$ which admits an asymptotic expansion of the form $f_0 + k^{-1} f_1 + \dots$ with coefficients $f_0, f_1, \dots$ in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(V, \delta_M)$. Furthermore $f_0 = \sigma$ on $\Gamma \cap V$,
- N is a real number which does not depend on x_0.

Let us recall how we can estimate the norm and the scalar product of Lagrangian states in terms of the corresponding sections Θ and σ. In these statements, we identify $\sigma^2 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Gamma, \delta_M^2)$ with the one-form of Γ given by

$$\sigma^2(p)(X) := \varphi(\sigma^2(p))(X), \qquad \forall p \in \Gamma \text{ and } X \in T_p\Gamma$$

where we see $T_p\Gamma$ as a subspace of $T_pM = E$. The normalization for N has been chosen so that for any $\rho \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ supported in U

$$\int_U |\xi_k|^2 \rho\, \omega = \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^N \int_\Gamma \rho(x) |\sigma|^2(x) + \mathcal{O}(K^{N-1})$$

Here $|\sigma|^2$ is the density $|\sigma^2|$ of Γ, so that it makes sense to integrate it on Γ. For a proof of this formula, cf Theorem 3.2 in [Cha06].

Consider now two Lagrangian states ξ and ξ' over U with associated data $(\Gamma, \Theta, \sigma, N)$ and $(\Gamma', \Theta', \sigma', N')$. Assume that $\Gamma \cap \Gamma' = \{y\}$ and this intersection is transverse. Introduce a function $\rho \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \rho \subset U$ and $\rho = 1$ on a neighborhood of y. By Theorem 6.1 in [Cha10], we have the following asymptotic expansion

$$\int_U (\xi_k, \xi'_k) \rho \omega = \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{-1/2+N+N'} \big(\Theta(y), \Theta'(y)\big)^k_{L_y} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} k^\ell a_\ell + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty}) \quad (16)$$

where the a_ℓ's are complex numbers and $a_0 = \langle \sigma(y), \sigma'(y) \rangle_{T_y\Gamma, T_y\Gamma'}$.

Here the bracket is defined as follows. For any two distinct lines ν, ν' of E, there exists a unique sesquilinear map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\nu,\nu'} : \delta \times \delta \to \mathbb{C}$ such that for any u, $v \in \delta$,

$$\big(\langle u, v \rangle_{\nu,\nu'}\big)^2 = i \frac{u^2(X) \overline{v^2(Y)}}{\omega(X,Y)}, \qquad \forall X \in \nu, Y \in \nu' \quad (17)$$

where X and Y are any non vanishing vectors in ν and ν' respectively. The sign of $\langle u, v \rangle_{\nu,\nu'}$ is determined by the following condition: the bracket depends continuously on ν, ν' and $\langle u, u \rangle_{\nu, j\nu} \geqslant 0$.

Assume that σ and σ' vanish at y. Then $a_0 = 0$ and a_1 is computed as follows. Write $\sigma = f\tau$ and $\sigma' = f'\tau'$ with f and f' smooth functions vanishing at y. Then

$$a_1 = i \frac{d_x f(X) \overline{d_x f'(Y)}}{\omega(X,Y)} \langle \tau(y), \tau'(y) \rangle_{T_y\Gamma, T_y\Gamma'}. \quad (18)$$

for any nonvanishing vector $X \in T_x\Gamma$ and $Y \in T_x\Gamma'$.

3.3 Alternative description of Lagrangian states

Choose a basis (μ, λ) of R such that $\omega(\mu, \lambda) = 4\pi$. Denote by p and q the linear coordinates of E dual to this basis. Let s be the section of L_E given by $s = e^{-2i\pi pq}$. We easily compute that

$$\nabla s = \frac{4\pi}{i} p dq \otimes s. \quad (19)$$

Observe that $s(0) = 1$ and s is flat along the lines $\mathbb{R}\mu$, $x + \mathbb{R}\lambda$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}\mu$. These conditions completely determine s.

Let q_0 and q_1 in $\mathbb{R}$ be such that $q_0 < q_1 < q_0 + 1$. Let U be the open set $U = \{[p\mu + q\lambda]/\ q \in]q_0, q_1[,\ p \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of M. Let ϕ be a smooth real valued function defined on the interval $]q_0, q_1[$. Introduce the submanifold Γ of U

$$\Gamma = \{[\phi'(q)\mu + q\lambda];\quad q \in]q_0, q_1[\}$$

and the section Θ of $L_M \to \Gamma$ such that for any $q \in]q_0, q_1[$,

$$\Theta([p\mu + q\lambda]) = e^{4i\pi\phi(q)} s(p\mu + q\lambda) \quad \text{with } p = \phi'(q) \tag{20}$$

It follows from (19) that Θ is flat. Let σ be a section of $\delta_M \to \Gamma$.

Let $(\Psi_\ell, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z})$ be the basis of $\mathcal{H}_k$ corresponding to (μ, λ). Let ξ be an admissible family. Denote by $\xi_k(\ell)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z}$ the coefficients of ξ_k in $(\Psi_{-\ell})$.

Theorem 3.1. *The restriction of ξ to U is a Lagrangian state with associated data $(\Gamma, \Theta, \sigma, N)$ if and only if for any $q \in]q_0, q_1[\cap \frac{1}{2k}\mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\xi_k(2kq) = \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{-1/2+N} e^{4i\pi k\phi(q)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} k^{-\ell} f_\ell(q) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty}) \tag{21}$$

where the $\mathcal{O}$ is uniform on any compact set of $]q_0, q_1[$, the f_ℓ's are smooth functions on $]q_0, q_1[$ and the square of f_0 satisfies for any q,

$$\sigma^2(\phi'(q)\mu + q\lambda) = f_0^2(q) \frac{\omega(\cdot, \mu)}{i}. \tag{22}$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.2 [CM15a], the family $(\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_k, k \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ is a Lagrangian state supported by the circle $C = \{[p\mu];\ p \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset M$ and

$$\Psi_0([p\mu]) = \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{1/4} s^k(p\mu) \otimes \Omega_\mu + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty}). \tag{23}$$

Assume that ξ is a Lagrangian state. Then we can estimate the scalar product

$$\xi_k(2kq) = \langle \xi_k, \Psi_{-2kq} \rangle = \langle \xi_k, T^*_{-q\lambda} \Psi_0 \rangle$$

with formula (16). Actually we need a version with parameter of formula (16) to get a uniform control with respect to q. Such a version holds and its proof is not more difficult. Let us explain how we obtain the factor $\exp(4i\pi k\phi(q))$ in (21) and Formula (22) for the leading coefficient. First observe that Γ intersects $q\lambda + C$ transversally at the point $y_q = [\phi'(q)\mu + q\lambda]$. Translating (23) by $q\lambda$, we obtain

$$\Psi_{-kq}([q\lambda + p\mu]) = \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{1/4} s^k(q\lambda + p\mu) \otimes \Omega_\mu + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty}).$$

By the definition of Θ, cf. Equation (20), we have

$$(\Theta(y_q)^k, s^k(y_q))_{L^k_{M,q}} = e^{4i\pi k\phi(q)}$$

Equation (22) follows from equation (17) and the fact that $\Omega^2_\mu(\mu) = 1$.

Conversely, assume that the asymptotic expansion (21) holds. By the first part of the proof, there exists a Lagrangian state ξ' such that the coefficients $\langle \xi'_k, \Psi_{-2kq}\rangle$ satisfy the same asymptotic expansion. The coefficients of the sequence $f(\cdot, k)$ in (15) have to be defined by successive approximations so that we recover the same coefficients in (21). Then we have

$$\langle \xi_k - \xi'_k, \Psi_{-2kq}\rangle = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty})$$

uniformly on any compact set of $]q_0, q_1[$. This has the consequence that the microsupport of $\xi - \xi'$ does not meet U. For more details on this last step, see Proposition 2.2 in [Cha11]. □

3.4 Application to the functions $\Xi_{m,k}$

Choose a positive basis (μ, λ) of R and denote by $(\Psi_\ell,\ \ell \in \mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z})$ the corresponding basis of $\mathcal{H}_k$. Recall the function $\Xi_{m,k}$ of Section 2. Define

$$\xi_{m,k} = \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z}} \Xi_{m,k}\Big(\frac{\ell}{k}\Big)\Psi_\ell.$$

Introduced the subsets of M

$$A_1 := \{[p\mu];\ p \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad A_2 := \{[q\lambda], [\mu/2 + q\lambda];\ q \in \mathbb{R}\}. \tag{24}$$

Introduce the neighborhoods of $A_1 \setminus A_2$ and $A_2 \setminus A_1$ respectively given by $U_1 := A_1 \setminus A_2$ and $U_2 := A_2 \setminus A_1$. Let $A := A_1 \cup A_2$ and Θ_A be the section of $L_M \to A$, which is flat and equal to 1 at the origin.

Theorem 3.2. *The restriction of $(\xi_{m,k},\ k \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ to U_1 (resp. U_2) is a Lagrangian state supported by $A_1 \setminus A_2$ (resp. $A_2 \setminus A_1$) with order -1 (resp. $1/2 + m$) and corresponding section Θ_A.*

The symbol can also be computed in terms of the polynomials P_m of Theorem 2.1.

Proof. It is a consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 2.1. Indeed, denoting by $\xi_{m,k}(\ell)$ the coefficient of $\Psi_{-\ell}$ in $\xi_{m,k}$, we have for any $q \in]0, 1[\cap \frac{1}{2k}\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{m,k}(2kq) =& \Xi_m(-2q) = (-1)^m \Xi_m(2q)\\ =& \big((-1)^m + e^{2ik\pi q}\big) P_m(k, 2q)\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

by Theorem 2.1. Recall that $P_m(k,q)$ depends smoothly on q (even polynomially) and is polynomial in k with degree m. So by Theorem 3.1, the restriction of $(\xi_{m,k})$ to U_2 is a Lagrangian state supported by $A_2 \setminus A_1$.

To prove the result on U_1, introduce the basis of $\mathcal{H}_k$

$$\Phi_\ell = \frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{\sqrt{2k}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi\ell n/k} \Psi_n, \qquad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z}$$

We check without difficulty that

$$T^*_{\mu/2k} \Phi_\ell = \Phi_{\ell+1}, \qquad T^*_{\lambda/2k} \Phi_\ell = e^{-i\pi\ell/k} \Phi_{\ell+1}.$$

Furthermore, the normalization with the factor $e^{i\pi/4}$ has been chosen so that $\Phi_0(0) = \Omega_{-\lambda}$, where $\Omega_{-\lambda} \in \delta$ is such that $\Omega^2_{-\lambda}(-\lambda) = 1$, cf. Theorem 2.3 of [CM15a] for a proof of this formula. So (Φ_ℓ) is the basis associated to $(-\lambda, \mu)$. Furthermore, it follows from the definition of $\Xi_{m,k}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{m,k} =& (i)^{-m} \sum_{n,\ell \in \mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z}} \left[\sin(\pi \tfrac{\ell}{k})\right]^{-m} e^{i\pi\ell n/k} \Psi_n \\ =& e^{-i\pi/4} (i)^{-m} \sqrt{2k} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z}} \left[\sin(\pi \tfrac{\ell}{k})\right]^{-m} \Phi_\ell \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where by convention $(0)^{-m} = 0$. So by Theorem 3.1, the restriction of $(\xi_{m,k})$ to U_1 is a Lagrangian state supported by $A_1 \setminus A_2$. □

4 Asymptotic behavior of $Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$ and $Z_k(S)$

Recall that we introduced in Section 1 a compact oriented surface Σ with a connected boundary C. Let

$$E = H_1(C \times S^1, \mathbb{R}), \quad R = H_1(C \times S^1), \qquad M = E/R. \tag{27}$$

Let ω be the symplectic form of E defined as 4π times the intersection product. Consider the quantum space $\mathcal{H}_k = H^0(M, L_M^k) \otimes \delta$ defined in Section 3.1. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_k^{\mathrm{alt}}$ the subspace of alternating sections.

Let (μ, λ) be the basis of R given by $\mu = [C]$, $\lambda = [S^1]$. Denote by (e_ℓ) and (Ψ_ℓ) the corresponding basis of $V_k(C \times S^1)$ and $\mathcal{H}_k$ respectively introduced in Section 1 and Section 3.1. We identify $V_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$ with $\mathcal{H}_k^{\mathrm{alt}}$ by sending e_ℓ into $2^{-1/2}(\Psi_\ell - \Psi_{-\ell})$. As it was proved in Theorem 2.4 of [CM15a], this identification depends on the choice of the basis (μ, λ) only up to a multiplicative factor $\exp(i\pi(\frac{n}{4} + \frac{n'}{2k}))$, n and n' being two integers independent of k.

4.1 The state $Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$

The vector $Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$ of $V_k(C \times S^1)$ is given in the basis (Ψ_ℓ) by

$$Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} N_\ell^{g,k} \big(\Psi_\ell - \Psi_{-\ell}\big) \tag{28}$$

Using Lemma 2.9 and the fact that Ξ_{2g-1} is odd, we get

$$Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1) = \frac{C_g}{\sqrt{2}} k^{g-1} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}/2k\mathbb{Z}} \Xi_{2g-1}\Big(\frac{\ell}{k}\Big) \Psi_\ell = \frac{C_g}{\sqrt{2}} k^{g-1} \xi_{2g-1,k} \tag{29}$$

where $\xi_{2g-1,k}$ is the vector introduced in Section 3.4. By Theorem 3.2, $(\xi_{2g-1,k})$ is a Lagrangian state, so the same holds for $\big(Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)\big)$. Let us complete this result by computing the symbol. In the following statement, we use the sets A_1, A_2 introduced in (24), their neighborhoods U_1, U_2 and the corresponding section Θ_A.

Theorem 4.1. *The restriction of $(Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1),\ k \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ to U_1 is a Lagrangian state with associated data $(A_1 \setminus A_2, \Theta_A, \sigma_1, g)$ where*

$$\sigma_1(p\mu) \equiv i\sqrt{2}\pi^g \big[\sin(2\pi p)\big]^{-2g+1} (dp)^{1/2}, \qquad \forall p \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The restriction of $(Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1),\ k \in \mathbb{N}^*)$ to U_2 is a Lagrangian state with associated data $(A_2 \setminus A_1, \Theta_A, \sigma_2, 3g-3/2)$ where σ_2 is given in terms of the function $P_{g,0}$ introduced in Theorem 2.10 by

$$\sigma_2(q\lambda) \equiv e^{i\pi/4} (\tfrac{\pi}{2})^{1/2}\ P_{g,0}(2q)\ (dq)^{1/2}\ , \qquad \forall q \in (0,1)$$

and $\sigma_2(q\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\mu) = -\sigma_2(q\lambda)$.

Proof. Introduce the same basis (Φ_ℓ) as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. Denoting by $\eta_k(\ell)$ the coefficient of $\Phi_{-\ell}$ in $Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$, we have by Equations (29) and (26) that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_k(2kp) =& \frac{C_g}{\sqrt{2}} k^{g-1} e^{i\pi/4} (-1)^g \sqrt{2k} \big[\sin(-2\pi p)\big]^{-2g+1} \\ =& \frac{e^{i\pi/4}}{2^g} k^{g-1/2} \big[\sin(2\pi p)\big]^{-2g+1} \end{aligned}$$

because $C_g = (-1)^{g+1} 2^{-g}$. To conclude the computation of σ_1, we use that $\omega(\cdot, -\lambda)/i = 4i\pi dp$ and equation (22).

Let us compute the symbol σ_2. By Theorem 3.2 and Equation (26), the coefficients $\zeta_k(\ell)$ of $\Psi_{-\ell}$ in $Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$ satisfy

$$\zeta_k(2kq) = \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{3g-2}\big(1 - e^{2ik\pi q}\big) f(q) + \mathcal{O}(k^{3g-3})$$

with f a smooth function on $]0,1[$. By Equation (22), we have for any $q \in (0,1)$,

$$\sigma_2(q\lambda) = f(q)\sqrt{4i\pi dq}, \qquad \sigma_2(q\lambda + \tfrac{1}{2}\mu) = -\sigma_2(q\lambda).$$

On the one hand, by Equation (28),

$$\zeta_k(2kq) = -2^{-1/2} N^{g,k}_{2kq}.$$

On the other hand, $1 - e^{2ik\pi q} = 2$ for odd $2kq$. So we conclude from Theorem 2.10 that $2f(q) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} P_{g,0}(2q)$. □

4.2 The state $\rho_k(\varphi)(Z_k(D \times S^1))$

Recall that φ is a diffeomorphism from $\partial D \times S^1$ to $C \times S^1$. So the homology class ν of $\varphi(\partial D)$ is a primitive vector of R, that is $\nu = a\mu + b\lambda$ where a, b are coprime integers. There is no restriction to assume that b is non negative. Introduce the subset of M

$$B := \{[r\nu] \in M;\ r \in \mathbb{R}\}. \tag{30}$$

Observe that B is a circle and there is a unique flat section Θ_B of $L \to B$ such that $\Theta_B(0) = 1$. The following result is Theorem 3.3 of [CM15a].

Theorem 4.2. *The family $\big(\rho_k(\varphi)(Z_k(D \times S^1)),\ k \in \mathbb{N}^*\big)$ is a Lagrangian state with associated data $(B, \Theta_B, \sigma_B, 0)$ where*

$$\sigma_B(r\nu) = \sqrt{2}\sin(2\pi r)\Omega_\nu$$

with $\Omega_\nu \in \delta$ such that $\Omega^2_\nu(\nu) = 1$.

For any non vanishing c, denote by I_c the interval

$$I_c =]-\tfrac{1}{2|c|}, \tfrac{1}{2|c|}[.$$

Assume that a and b do not vanish. Consider the open set $U = \big\{[p\mu + q\lambda];\ p \in I_b,\ q \in I_a\big\}$ of M. Observe that

$$B \cap U = \big\{\big[\tfrac{a}{b}q\mu + q\lambda\big];\ q \in I_a\big\}.$$

Introduce a function $f_U \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ with support contained in U, which is identically equal to 1 on a neighborhood of the origin and such that $f_U(-x) = f_U(x)$. Let $Z(\ell)$ be the coefficients

$$Z(\ell) = \big\langle f_U \rho_k(\varphi)(Z_k(D \times S^1)), e_\ell \big\rangle, \qquad \ell = 1, \dots, k-1 \tag{31}$$

We deduce from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.2 the following

Proposition 4.3. *We have for any $q \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cap \frac{1}{2k}\mathbb{Z}$,*

$$Z(2kq) = \Big(\frac{2\pi}{k}\Big)^{1/2} e^{2i\pi k \frac{a}{b} q^2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} k^{-\ell} f_\ell(q) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty})$$

where the f_ℓ are smooth odd functions on $\mathbb{R}$ with support contained in I_a. Furthermore $f_0(q) = e^{-i\pi/4} \sin(2\pi q/b)/\sqrt{\pi b}$ on a neighborhood of 0.

4.3 Asymptotics of $Z_k(S)$

Let us assume that $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$. Under this assumption the intersection of B with $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ is finite. As we will see, each point of $A \cap B$ contributes in the asymptotic expansion of $Z_k(S)$. Actually, since we work with alternating sections, the relevant set is the quotient X of $A \cap B$ by the involution $-\mathrm{id}_M$

$$-\mathrm{id}_M : M \to M, \qquad [p\mu + q\lambda] \to [-p\mu - q\lambda]. \tag{32}$$

Let us denote by N the quotient of M by $-\mathrm{id}_M$ so that X is a subset of N. Introduce the functions α, β from N to $[0, \pi]$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha([p\mu + q\lambda]) &= \arccos(\cos(2\pi p)), \\ \beta([p\mu + q\lambda]) &= \arccos(\cos(2\pi q)). \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Here it may be worth to observe that $[0, 1/2]$ is a fundamental domain for the action of $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ on $\mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ acts by translation and $-1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ by $-\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$. The function $\frac{1}{2\pi}\arccos(\cos(2\pi x))$ induces a section from $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ to $[0, 1/2]$. So if $x = [p\mu + q\lambda]$, then $\alpha(x)/2\pi \equiv p$ and $\beta(x)/2\pi \equiv q$ modulo $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$

The quotient N is an orbifold with four singular points

$$p_1 = [0], \quad p_2 = [\mu/2], \quad p_3 = [\lambda/2], \quad p_4 = [\mu/2 + \lambda/2].$$

corresponding to the fixed points of $-\mathrm{id}_M$. All these points belong to A_2 and the first two belong to A_1 too, actually $A_1 \cap A_2 = \{p_1, p_2\}$. Since these

points play a particular role in the asymptotic expansion of $Z_k(S)$, we divide X into four sets $X_1 = (A_1 \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}) \cap B$, $X_2 = (A_2 \setminus \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}) \cap B$, $X_3 = \{p_1, p_2\} \cap B$ and $X_4 = \{p_3, p_4\} \cap B$.

Lemma 4.4. *The sets X_1 and X_2 consist respectively of $\mathrm{E}\big(\frac{b-1}{2}\big)$ and $|a|-1$ points. $X_3 = \{p_1, p_2\}$ if b is even and $\{p_1\}$ otherwise. $X_4 = \{p_3\}$ if a is even, $\{p_4\}$ if a and b are odd, empty if b is even.*

Proof. Observe that for any $x \in E$, there exists a unique $r \in [0, 1/2]$ such that $x = [r(a\mu + b\lambda)]$. Furthermore $x \in \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$ if and only if $r = 0$ or $1/2$, $x \in A_1$ if and only if $r \in \frac{1}{b}\{0, 1, \ldots, \mathrm{E}(b/2)\}$, $x \in A_2$ if and only if $r \in \frac{1}{2|a|}\{0, 1, \ldots, |a|\}$. We conclude easily. □

For any x in X, introduce a function $f_x \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(N)$ which is identically equal to 1 on a neighborhood of x. Assume furthermore that these functions have disjoint supports. Consider for any $x \in X$ the quantity

$$I_x(k) = \big\langle f_x Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1), \rho_k(\varphi)(Z_k(D \times S^1))\big\rangle$$

where the bracket is the scalar product of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, L^k \otimes \delta_M)$. Introduce two integers c and d such that $ac + bd = 1$.

Theorem 4.5. *We have for any $x \in X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_4$ that*

$$I_x(k) = \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{g-1/2} \langle \Theta_A(x), \Theta_B(x)\rangle^k \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} k^{-\ell} a_\ell(x) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty})$$

where the $a_\ell(x)$ are complex coefficients. If $x \in X_1$

$$n(x) = g - \tfrac{1}{2}, \qquad a_0(x) \equiv \frac{2\pi^{g-1/2}}{\sqrt{b}} \big[\sin(\alpha(x))\big]^{-2g+1} \sin\big(c\alpha(x)\big)$$

If $x \in X_2$

$$n(x) = 3g - 2, \qquad a_0(x) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} P_{g,0}\Big(\frac{\beta(x)}{\pi}\Big) \sin\big(d\beta(x)\big)$$

with $P_{g,0}$ is the function introduced in 2.10. If $x \in X_4$,

$$n(x) = 3g - 3, \qquad a_0(x) \equiv \frac{iP'_{g,0}(1)}{4\pi a^{3/2}}.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2 and Equation (16). To compute the leading coefficient with equation (17), we write

$$\frac{dp(\mu)\overline{\Omega_\nu^2(\nu)}}{\omega(\mu,\nu)} = \frac{1}{4\pi b}, \qquad \frac{dq(\lambda)\overline{\Omega_\nu^2(\nu)}}{\omega(\lambda,\nu)} = \frac{-1}{4\pi a}.$$

Furthermore, to compute $\sin(2\pi r)$, we use that for $x = r\nu$,

$$r = rac + rbd \equiv \frac{\alpha(x)}{2\pi}c \pm \frac{\beta(x)}{2\pi}d \mod \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$$

If x belongs to X_1, then $\beta(x) = 0$ which implies that $\sin(2\pi r) \equiv \sin(c\alpha(x))$ up to sign. If x belongs to X_2, then $\alpha(x) = 0$ or π so that $\sin(2\pi r) \equiv \sin(\beta(x)d)$ up to sign.

To compute $I_x(k)$ with $x \in X_4$, we use formula (18). □

To estimate $I_x(k)$ with $x \in X_3$, we need the followings results. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$ with $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$. Introduce the sum

$$S_k^+(f) = \tfrac{1}{2}f(0) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}\ell^2/k} f\Big(\frac{\ell}{k}\Big).$$

f being with compact support, the sum is finite.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$ be such that its support is contained in $[0, \frac{2\pi}{|\alpha|})$. If f is even and $f(x) = \lambda x^{2n} + \mathcal{O}(x^{2n+1})$, then*

$$S_k^+(f) = k^{\frac{1}{2}-n}\Big(\frac{\pi}{2|\alpha|}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\operatorname{sgn}\alpha} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} k^{-\ell}c_\ell + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty}) \quad \textit{with} \quad c_0 = \Big(\frac{i}{2\alpha}\Big)^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!}\lambda$$

and $c_\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ for any positive integer ℓ. If f is odd and $f(x) = \lambda x^{2n+1} + \mathcal{O}(x^{2n+2})$, then

$$S_k^+(f) = k^{-n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} k^{-\ell}c_\ell + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty}) \quad \textit{with} \quad c_0 = \Big(\frac{2i}{\alpha}\Big)^{n+1} \frac{n!}{2}\lambda$$

and $c_\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ for any positive integer ℓ.

Here we say that a function of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is even (resp. odd) if its Taylor expansion at the origin contains only even monomials (resp. odd monomials). Similarly, the sum

$$S_k^-(f) = \tfrac{1}{2}f(0) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} (-1)^\ell e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}\ell^2/k} f\Big(\frac{\ell}{k}\Big)$$

has the following asymptotic behavior.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$ be such that its support is contained in $[0, \frac{\pi}{|\alpha|})$. If f is even, $S_k^-(f) = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty})$. If f is odd and $f(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^n)$, then*

$$S_k^-(f) = k^{-n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} k^{-\ell} c_\ell + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty})$$

for some complex coefficients c_ℓ.

These two theorems are proved in Section 5. We deduce the following

Theorem 4.8. *For any $x \in X_3$, we have the asymptotic expansion*

$$I_x(k) = \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{3g-3} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_\ell(x) k^{-\ell} + k^{2g-3/2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} b_\ell(x) k^{-\ell} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty})$$

with $a_\ell(x)$ and $b_\ell(x)$ complex coefficients, the leading ones being given by

$$a_0(x) = \frac{P'_{g,0}(0)}{4\pi a^{3/2}}, \qquad b_0(x) = e^{i\pi/4} i^g b^{g-3/2} a^{-g} \pi^{-g+1} \frac{\sqrt{2}(g-1)!}{(2(g-1))!}$$

Proof. $I_{p_1}(k)$ is equal to the scalar product of $Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$ with the vector Z_k introduced in (31)

$$Z_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \big\langle f_U \rho_k(\varphi)(Z_k(D \times S^1)), e_\ell \big\rangle e_\ell.$$

The asymptotic behavior of the coefficients of Z_k is given in Proposition 4.3. By Lemma 1.1 and Theorem 2.10, $Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1)$ is the sum of four terms $Z_k^{+,+}$, $Z_k^{+,-}$, $Z_k^{-,+}$, $Z_k^{-,-}$ whose coefficient in the basis (e_ℓ) are

$$Z_k^{+,\pm}(\ell) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{3g-2} \sum_{m=0}^{g-1} k^{-2m} P_{g,m}^{\pm}\Big(\frac{\ell}{k}\Big), \quad Z_k^{-,\pm}(\ell) = (-1)^{\ell+1} Z_k^{+,\pm}(\ell).$$

As a consequence of Theorem 4.7, we have

$$\big\langle Z_k, Z_k^{-,-} \big\rangle = \mathcal{O}(k^{-\infty}), \qquad \big\langle Z_k, Z_k^{-,+} \big\rangle = k^{g-3/2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} k^{-\ell} c_\ell \tag{34}$$

for some coefficient c_ℓ. To prove the second formula of Equation (34), we have to take into account that

$$P_{g,m}^{+}(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^{2(g-m-1)}). \tag{35}$$

By Theorem 4.6, we have

$$\left\langle Z_k, Z_k^{+,-}\right\rangle = \Big(\frac{k}{2\pi}\Big)^{3g-3} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_\ell(x) k^{-\ell}, \qquad \left\langle Z_k, Z_k^{+,+}\right\rangle = k^{2g-3/2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} b_\ell(x) k^{-\ell}$$

where a_0 and b_0 are given by the formula in the statement. To compute b_0, we use the expression for $P_{g,0}^+$ given in Theorem 2.10. Furthermore, Equation (35) implies that the polynomials $P_{g,m}$ with $m \geqslant 1$ do not enter in the computation. Since $2g - 3/2 > g - 3/2$, $\left\langle Z_k, Z_k^{-,+}\right\rangle$ does not contribute to the leading order terms. This concludes the proof for $x = p_1$.

Assume that b is even. Then X_3 consists of p_1 and p_2 and by a symmetry argument, we see that the computation of $I_{p_3}(k)$ is the same as the one of $I_{p_1}(k)$. Indeed, we have that $(T^*_{\mu/2} + \mathrm{id}) Z_k(\Sigma \times S^1) = 0$. Furthermore, by Theorem 4.2, $T^*_{\nu/2} \rho_k(\varphi) Z_k(D \times S^1) = 0$ is a Lagrangian state with associated data $(B, \Theta_B, -\sigma_B, 0)$. Since b is even, $\mu/2 = \nu/2$. Clearly, $p_1 + \mu/2 = p_2$, which concludes the proof. □

5 Singular discrete stationary phase

In this section, we prove Theorem 4.6 and Theorem 4.7. Let α, β be two real numbers. Assume that $\alpha \neq 0$. Denote by $\mathbb{R}_+$ the set of non negative real numbers. For any function $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and positive τ, introduce the sum

$$S_\tau(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma(0)}{2} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} e^{i(\frac{\alpha}{2}\frac{\ell^2}{\tau} - \beta\ell)} \sigma\Big(\frac{\ell}{\tau}\Big)$$

In this appendix we study the asymptotics of $S_\tau(\sigma)$ as τ tends to infinity. Our treatment is partly inspired by the paper [KK93]. We will adapt the stationary phase method. The relevant variable is $x = \ell/\tau$. As we will see, the set of stationary points is $\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. The origin also contributes non trivially to the asymptotic because the sum starts at $\ell = 0$. In Theorem 4.6, we are in the most delicate situation, because $\beta = 0$, and the origin is both a stationary point and an endpoint of the summation interval. Let us start with the easiest case where the support of σ does not contain any stationary point.

Theorem 5.1. *For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $\operatorname{Supp}\sigma \cap \big(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}\big) = \emptyset$ and $\sigma(x) = \mathcal{O}(x^n)$ at the origin, we have the following asymptotic expansion*

$$S(\sigma) = k^{-n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} k^{-\ell} c_\ell$$

for some complex numbers c_ℓ.

For the proof, we will have to consider more general sums of the form $S_\tau(\rho(\cdot,\tau))$ where $\rho(\cdot,\tau)$, is a family of functions in $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ whose supports are contained in a fixed compact subset of $\mathbb{R}_+$ and which admits a complete asymptotic expansion in inverse power of τ, $\rho(\cdot,\tau) = \rho_0 + \tau^{-1}\rho_1 + \tau^{-2}\rho_2 + \ldots$, for the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ topology. We call such a family $(\rho(\cdot,\tau))$ a *symbol*. In particular, for any function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we will denote by $f(\frac{1}{\tau}\frac{\partial}{\partial x})\sigma$ any symbol with the expansion

$$f(0)\sigma + \tau^{-1} f'(0)\sigma' + \tau^{-2} f^{(2)}(0)\sigma^{(2)} + \ldots$$

We will also use the notation $D = \frac{1}{\tau}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$.

Proof. The sum $\tilde{S}_\tau(\sigma) = \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty e^{i(\frac{\alpha}{2}\frac{\ell^2}{\tau} - \beta\ell)}\sigma\big(\frac{\ell}{\tau}\big)$ satisfies the relation

$$\tilde{S}_\tau(\sigma(\delta - 1)) + \tilde{S}_\tau(\delta(e^D - 1)\sigma\big) + \sigma(0) = 0 \tag{36}$$

where δ is the symbol $\delta(x,\tau) = e^{i(\alpha x - \beta) + i\alpha/2\tau}$. To prove this, we apply the summation by part formula

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^n f_\ell(g_{\ell+1} - g_\ell) + \sum_{\ell=0}^n g_{\ell+1}(f_{\ell+1} - f_\ell) = f_{n+1}g_{n+1} - f_0 g_0$$

to the sequences $f_\ell = \sigma\big(\frac{\ell}{\tau}\big)$ and $g_\ell = \exp\big(i\frac{\alpha}{2}\frac{\ell^2}{\tau} - i\beta\ell\big)$. Observe that

$$f_{\ell+1} = \sigma\Big(\frac{\ell}{\tau}\Big) + \tau^{-1}\sigma'\Big(\frac{\ell}{\tau}\Big) + \tfrac{1}{2}\tau^{-2}\sigma''\Big(\frac{\ell}{\tau}\Big) + \ldots = \big(e^D\sigma\big)\Big(\frac{\ell}{\tau}\Big) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-\infty})$$

so that $f_{\ell+1} - f_\ell = \big((e^D - 1)\sigma\big)(\ell/\tau)$. Furthermore $g_{\ell+1} = g_\ell\delta(\ell/\tau,\tau)$ and Equation (36) follows.

We have

$$\delta(x,\tau) - 1 = e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha x - \beta)}\sin\big(\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha x - \beta)\big) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-1}).$$

Observe that the zero set of $\sin\big(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha x - \beta)\big)$ is $\frac{\beta}{\alpha} + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. So if the support of σ does not intersect this set, we can write $\sigma = \gamma(\delta - 1)$ for some symbol γ. Let us apply (36) to γ, we obtain

$$\tilde{S}_\tau(\sigma) = -\sigma(0)(\delta(0) - 1) + \tau^{-1}\tilde{S}_\tau(\sigma_1)$$

where σ_1 is the symbol $\tau(\delta(e^D - 1)\gamma$. Since the support of σ_1 is smaller than the support of σ, we can do the same computation with $\tilde{S}_\tau(\sigma_1)$. In this way, we prove that $S_\tau(\sigma)$ has a complete asymptotic expansion in power of τ^{-1}. With a careful inspection of this computation, we also get that $S_\tau(\sigma) = \mathcal{O}(k^{-n})$ if σ vanishes to order k at the origin. □

Choosing $\beta = \pi$ in the last result, we obtain Theorem 4.7. For the proof of Theorem 4.6, we will use the following relation, which has the advantage to be more symmetric that Equation (36). In the remainder of the appendix, we assume that $\beta = 0$.

Lemma 5.2. *For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$, we have*

$$S_\tau\big(\sin(\alpha\cdot)\sigma\big) = \tfrac{i}{2}\sigma(0) + ie^{-i\alpha/(2\tau)}\Big(S_\tau\big(\sinh(D)\sigma\big) + \tfrac{1}{2}(\cosh(D)\sigma)(0)\Big)$$

up to a $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{-\infty})$.

Proof. We will use the following summation by part formula

$$\tfrac{1}{2}f_0\delta_0(g) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} f_\ell\delta_\ell(g) + \tfrac{1}{2}f_n\delta_n(g) + \tfrac{1}{2}g_0\delta_0(f) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} g_\ell\delta_\ell(f) + \tfrac{1}{2}g_n\delta_n(f) +$$
$$\tfrac{1}{2}g_0(f_1 + f_{-1}) + \tfrac{1}{2}f_0(g_1 + g_{-1}) - \tfrac{1}{2}f_n(g_{n-1} + g_{n+1}) - \tfrac{1}{2}g_n(f_{n-1} + f_{n+1}) = 0$$

to the same sequences f_ℓ and g_ℓ that we used in the proof of Theorem 5.1. We have that

$$\delta_\ell(g) = 2ig_\ell \sin\big(\alpha\ell/\tau\big)\exp(i\alpha/2\tau), \qquad \tfrac{1}{2}(g_1 + g_{-1}) = \exp(i\alpha/2\tau).$$

Furthermore

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\delta_\ell f \equiv \big(\sinh(D)\sigma\big)\Big(\frac{\ell}{\tau}\Big), \qquad \tfrac{1}{2}(f_1 + f_{-1}) \equiv \big(\cosh(D)\sigma\big)(0)$$

up to a $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{-\infty})$. Applying these expressions in the summation by part formula with n sufficiently large, we get

$$2ie^{i\frac{\alpha}{2\tau}}S_\tau(\sin(\alpha\cdot)\sigma) + 2S_\tau(\sinh(D)\sigma) + \big(\cosh(D)\sigma\big)(0) + \sigma(0)e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2\tau}} \equiv 0$$

up to a $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{-\infty})$, which was the result to proved. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\rho \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with support contained in $[0, \frac{2\pi}{|\alpha|})$ and such that $\rho \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of 0. Then*

$$\frac{2}{\tau}S_\tau(\rho) = \Big(\frac{2\pi}{\tau}\Big)^{1/2}\frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\operatorname{sgn}\alpha}}{|\alpha|^{1/2}} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-\infty}).$$

Proof. We extend ρ to a smooth even function on $\mathbb{R}$. Then

$$2S_\tau(\rho) = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}\frac{\ell^2}{\tau}}\rho\Big(\frac{\ell}{\tau}\Big)$$

By Poisson formula,

$$2S_\tau(\rho) = \tau \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} I_\ell, \qquad \text{with} \quad I_\ell = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\tau(\frac{\alpha}{2}x^2 - 2\pi x\ell)}\rho(x)dx.$$

We can estimate each I_ℓ by stationary phase method. For $\ell \neq 0$, the phase $\frac{\alpha}{2}x^2 - 2\pi x\ell$ has a unique critical point $2\pi\ell/\alpha$. This point not belonging to the support of ρ, $I_\ell = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-\infty})$. We can actually prove the stronger result that

$$\sum_{\ell\neq 0} I_\ell = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-\infty}).$$

Estimating I_0 we get the final result. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with support contained in $[0, \frac{2\pi}{|\alpha|})$. Then*

$$S_\tau(\sigma) = \tau^{1/2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_\ell \tau^{-\ell} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} b_\ell \tau^{-\ell}$$

where the leading coefficients are

$$a_0 = \Big(\frac{\pi}{2}\Big)^{1/2} \frac{e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\operatorname{sgn}\alpha}}{|\alpha|^{1/2}}\sigma(0), \qquad b_0 = i\frac{\sigma'(0)}{\alpha}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.1, we can assume that the support of σ is contained in $[0, \frac{\pi}{|\alpha|})$. Let ρ be a function satisfying the assumption of Lemma 5.3. Write

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(0)\rho(x) - i\sin(\alpha x)\sigma_1(x)$$

where σ_1 is in $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with support in $[0, \frac{2\pi}{|\alpha|})$. We have by Lemma 5.2

$$S_\tau(\sigma) = \sigma(0)S_\tau(\rho) + \tfrac{1}{2}\sigma_1(0) + e^{-i\frac{\alpha}{2\tau}}\Big(S_\tau\big(\sinh(D)\sigma_1\big) + \tfrac{1}{2}(\cosh(D)\sigma_1)(0)\Big)$$

By lemma 5.3, $\sigma(0)S_\tau(\rho) = \tau^{1/2}a_0$ where a_0 is defined as in the statement. Furthermore $\frac{1}{2}\sigma_1(0) + \frac{1}{2}(\cosh(D)\sigma_1)(0) = \sigma_1(0) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-1})$. We also have $\sigma_1(0) = b_0$. So we obtain

$$S_\tau(\sigma) = \tau^{1/2}a_0 + b_0 + \tau^{-1}R_\tau$$

where R_τ is given by

$$R_\tau = e^{-i\alpha/(2\tau)}\Big(S_\tau\big(\tau\sinh(D)\sigma_1\big) + \tfrac{\tau}{2}\big((\cosh(D)\sigma_1)(0) - \sigma_1(0)\big)\Big)$$

Observe that $\tau\sinh(D)\sigma_1$ is a symbol, we can apply the same argument to $S_\tau(\tau\sinh(D)\sigma_1)$. We prove in this way the result by successive approximations. □

Theorem 5.5. *Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C})$ with support contained in $[0, \frac{2\pi}{|\alpha|})$. If σ is even and $\sigma(x) = \lambda x^{2n} + \mathcal{O}(x^{2n+1})$ at the origin, then*

$$S_\tau(f) = \tau^{\frac{1}{2}-n}\Big(\frac{i\pi}{2\alpha}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \tau^{-\ell} c_\ell + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-\infty}) \quad \text{with} \quad c_0 = \Big(\frac{i}{2\alpha}\Big)^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!}\lambda.$$

If σ is odd and $\sigma(x) = \lambda x^{2n+1} + \mathcal{O}(x^{2n+2})$, then

$$S_\tau(f) = \tau^{-n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \tau^{-\ell} c_\ell + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-\infty}) \quad \text{with} \quad c_0 = \Big(\frac{2i}{\alpha}\Big)^{n+1} \frac{n!}{2}\lambda.$$

Proof. First, by adapting the proof of Theorem 5.4, we show that if σ is even, the coefficients b_ℓ vanish, whereas if σ is odd, the coefficient a_ℓ vanish. For instance, if σ is even, σ_1 is odd, so that $\sigma_1(0) = 0$ and $\sinh(D)\sigma_1$ is even. We conclude by iterating.

To compute the leading coefficients, we use the filtration $\mathcal{O}(m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ of the space of symbols defined as follows:

$$f \in \mathcal{O}(m) \Leftrightarrow f = \sum_{0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant m/2} \tau^{-\ell} g_\ell + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-m/2})$$

where for any ℓ, the coefficient $g_\ell \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ vanishes to order $m - 2\ell$ at the origin. Observe that if $f \in \mathcal{O}(m+1)$ then $f(0) = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-(m+1)/2})$ and $Df \in \mathcal{O}(m+1)$.

Assume that $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}(m)$ and that we want to compute $S_\tau(\sigma)$ up to a $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{-m/2})$. We consider again the proof of Theorem 5.4. Introduce the function

$$\gamma(x) = (\sigma(x) - \sigma(0)\rho(x))/x.$$

Then $\sigma_1 = \frac{i}{\alpha}\gamma + \mathcal{O}(m+1)$ and $\sinh(D)\sigma_1 = \frac{i}{\alpha} D\gamma + \mathcal{O}(m+1)$. From this, we deduce that

$$S_\tau(\sigma) = S_\tau(\rho)\sigma(0) + \tfrac{i}{\alpha}\gamma(0) + \tfrac{i}{\alpha} S_\tau\big(D\gamma + \mathcal{O}(m+1)\big) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-m/2})$$

To conclude the proof, we choose $\sigma = \lambda x^m \rho$ and apply this formula as many times as necessary. □

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.6.

6 Geometric interpretation of the leading coefficients

6.1 Symplectic volumes

For any $t \in [0,1]$, denote by $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, t)$ the moduli space of flat SU(2)-principal bundles whose holonomy g of the boundary $C = \partial\Sigma$ satisfies $\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(g) = \cos(2\pi t)$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, t)$ is the space of conjugacy classes of group morphisms ρ from $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ to SU(2) such that for any loop $\gamma \in \pi_1(\Sigma)$ isotopic to C, $\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\rho(\gamma)) = \cos(2\pi t)$.

We say that a morphism ρ from $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ to SU(2) is irreducible if the corresponding representation of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ in $\mathbb{C}^2$ is irreducible. The subset $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{irr}}(\Sigma, t)$ of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, t)$ consisting of conjugacy classes of irreducible morphisms is a smooth symplectic manifold. Using the usual presentation of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$, one easily sees that any morphism $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma) \to \mathrm{SU}(2)$ such that $\rho(\gamma) \neq \mathrm{id}$ for γ isotopic to C, is irreducible. Consequently $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{irr}}(\Sigma, t) = \mathcal{M}(\Sigma, t)$ for $t \in (0,1]$. The subset of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, 0)$ consisting of non irreducible representation is in bijection with $\mathrm{Mor}(\pi_1(\Sigma), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$, the set of group morphisms from $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ to $\mathbb{R}/Z$.

Furthermore, for $t \in (0,1)$, $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, t)$ is $2(3g-2)$-dimensional, whereas $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, 1)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{irr}}(\Sigma, 0)$ have dimension $2(3g-3)$.

Theorem 6.1. *For any $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < \ell \leqslant k$ and ℓ is even, we have*

$$N_{\ell+1}^{g,k+2} = \int_{\mathcal{M}(\Sigma,s)} e^{\frac{k}{2\pi}\omega_s} \operatorname{Todd}_s$$

where $s = \ell/k$, ω_s is the symplectic form of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, s)$ and Todd_s any representant of its Todd class

As a corollary, we can compute the polynomial function $P_{g,0}$ as a symplectic volume.

Corollary 6.2. *For any $s \in (0,1)$, we have*

$$P_{g,0}(s) = \int_{\mathcal{M}(\Sigma,s)} \frac{\omega_s^{3g-2}}{(3g-2)!}.$$

We can actually recover partially Theorem 2.10 in this way. Introduce the space $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$ of conjugacy classes of morphisms from $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ to SU(2). Let $f : \mathcal{M}(\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function sending ρ into $\frac{1}{\pi}\arccos(\operatorname{tr}(\rho(C)))$. Then for each $s \in [0,1]$, $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, s)$ is the fiber at s of f. Furthermore $(0,1)$ is the

set of regular values of f. So we can identify the $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, s)$, $s \in (0,1)$ to a fixed manifold F, by a diffeomorphism uniquely defined up to isotopy. In particular, the homology groups of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, s)$ are naturally identified with the ones of F.

In [Jef94], Jeffrey introduced an extended moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{t}}(\Sigma)$. This space is a $2(3g-1)$-dimensional $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$-Hamiltonian space, such that for any $s \in (0,1)$, $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, s)$ is the symplectic reduction of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathfrak{t}}(\Sigma)$ at level s. We recover that the various $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, s)$, $s \in (0,1)$ can be naturally identified with a fixed manifold F up to isotopy.

Furthermore, by Duistermaat-Heckman Theorem [DH82], the cohomology class of ω_s is an affine function of s with value in $H^2(F)$, that is $[\omega_s] = \Omega + sc$, where Ω and c are constant cohomology classes in $H^2(F)$. This implies in particular that $P_{g,0}$ is polynomial with degree $(3g-2)$.

We can explain in this way why the shifts of ℓ and k we introduced are natural. Indeed it has been proved by Meinrenken-Woodward [MW01] that the canonical class c_1 of $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, s)$ is $-4\Omega - 2c$. Using that $\mathrm{Todd} = \hat{A} e^{-\frac{1}{2}c_1}$ where $\hat{A}$ is the A-genus, we obtain the that for any $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < \ell < k$ and ℓ is odd,

$$N_\ell^{g,k} = \int_{\mathcal{M}(\Sigma,s)} e^{\frac{k}{2\pi}\omega_s} \hat{A}, \qquad \text{with } s = \ell/k.$$

Since the $\hat{A}$-genus belong to $\bigoplus_\ell H^{4\ell}(F)$, it follows that

$$Q(k,s) = \int_{\mathcal{M}(\Sigma,s)} e^{\frac{k}{2\pi}\omega_s} \hat{A}$$

is a linear combination of the monomial $k^{2m}s^p$ with $0 \leqslant p \leqslant 2m$ and $0 \leqslant m \leqslant g-1$, which was already proved in Theorem 2.10.

6.2 Character varieties

For any topological space V, introduce the character variety $\mathcal{M}(V)$ defined as the space of group morphisms from $\pi_1(V)$ to $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ up to conjugation. If W is a subspace of V, we have a natural map from $\mathcal{M}(V)$ to $\mathcal{M}(W)$, that we call the restriction map.

For the circle C, $\pi_1(C)$ being cyclic, $\mathcal{M}(C)$ identifies with the set of conjugacy classes of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. So $\mathcal{M}(C) \simeq [0,\pi]$ by the map sending the morphism ρ to the number $\arccos(\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\rho(C))$. Similarly, $\mathcal{M}(S^1) \simeq [0,\pi]$.

For the two-dimensional torus $C \times S^1$, there is a natural bijection between $\mathcal{M}(C \times S^1)$ and the quotient of $H_1(C \times S^1, \mathbb{R})$ by $H_1(C \times S^1) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ defined as

follows. Identify $\pi_1(C\times S^1)$ with $H_1(C\times S^1)$ and denote by $\cdot$ the intersection product of $H_1(C\times S^1)$. Then to any $x\in H_1(C\times S^1,\mathbb{R})$ we associate the representation ρ_x given by

$$\rho_x(\gamma)=\exp((x\cdot\gamma)D),\qquad \forall\gamma\in H_1(C\times S^1) \tag{37}$$

where $D\in \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is the diagonal matrix with entries $2i\pi$, $-2i\pi$.

Recall that we denote by M the quotient of $H_1(C\times S^1,\mathbb{R})$ by $H_1(C\times S^1)$ and by N the quotient of M by $-\mathrm{id}_M$, cf. (27) and (32). So the map sending x to ρ_x induces a bijection between N and $\mathcal{M}(C\times S^1)$. Furthermore the restriction maps from $\mathcal{M}(C\times S^1)$ to $\mathcal{M}(C)$ and $\mathcal{M}(S^1)$ identify respectively with the maps β and α introduced in (33).

Recall that we introduced subsets A_1, A_2, $A=A_1\cup A_2$ and B of M. We denote by $\tilde{A}_1$, $\tilde{A}_2$, $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$ their projections in N. So $\tilde{A}_1$ and $\tilde{A}_2$ consists respectively of the classes $[\rho]\in\mathcal{M}(C\times S^1)$ such that $\rho(C)=\mathrm{id}$ or $\rho(S^1)=\pm\mathrm{id}$. In other words $\tilde{A}_1=\beta^{-1}(0)$ and $\tilde{A}_2=\alpha^{-1}(\{0,\pi\})$.

Lemma 6.3. *The image of the restriction map f from $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma\times S^1)$ to $\mathcal{M}(C\times S^1)$ is $\tilde{A}$. For any $x\in\tilde{A}_1\setminus\tilde{A}_2$, $f^{-1}(x)$ identifies with $\mathrm{Mor}(\pi_1(\Sigma),\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$. For any $x\in\tilde{A}_2$, $f^{-1}(x)$ identifies with $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma,\beta(x)/\pi)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ consisting of diagonal matrices. So $\mathbb{T}\simeq\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. We will use that for any $g\in\mathbb{T}\setminus\{\pm\mathrm{id}\}$, the centralizer of g in $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ is $\mathbb{T}$.

Let ρ be a morphism from $\pi_1(\Sigma\times S^1)=\pi_1(\Sigma)\times\pi(S^1)$ to $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. The restriction ρ' of ρ to Σ commutes with $\rho(S^1)$. Conjugating ρ if necessary, $g=\rho(S^1)$ belongs to $\mathbb{T}$. Consider the following two cases:

- If g is not central, the image of ρ' is contained in $\mathbb{T}$. This implies that $\rho(C)=\mathrm{id}$ so that $f(\rho)\in\tilde{A}_1$. Conversely, any $g\in\mathbb{T}\setminus\{\pm\mathrm{id}\}$ and $\rho'\in\mathrm{Mor}(\pi_1(\Sigma),\mathbb{T})$ determines a unique $\rho\in\mathcal{M}(C\times S^1)$.
- If g is central, then $f(\rho)\in\tilde{A}_2$. Conversely, any $g=\pm\mathrm{id}$ and $\rho'\in\mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$ determine a unique $\rho\in\mathcal{M}(C\times S^1)$.

To end the proof in the second case, we view $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma)$ as the union of the $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma,t)$ where t runs over $[0,1]$. □

Recall that S is the Seifert manifold obtained by gluing the solid torus $D\times S^1$ to $\Sigma\times S^1$ along the diffeomorphism φ of $C\times S^1$. Furthermore, $X=\tilde{A}\cap\tilde{B}$.

Theorem 6.4. *The components of $\mathcal{M}(S)$ are in bijection with X. For any $x\in X$ the corresponding component is homeomorphic with $f^{-1}(x)$.*

Proof. It follows from Van Kampen Theorem that $\pi_1(S)$ is the quotient of $\pi_1(\Sigma \times S^1)$ by the subgroup generated by $\varphi(C)$. So the group morphisms from $\pi_1(S)$ identify with the group morphisms from $\pi(\Sigma \times S^1)$ sending $\varphi(C)$ to the identity.

On the other hand, for any $x \in H_1(C \times S^1, \mathbb{R})$ the corresponding representation ρ_x defined in (37) is trivial on $\varphi(C)$ if and only if x belongs to the line generated by $\nu = a\mu + b\lambda$. So $\tilde{B}$ consists of the conjugacy classes of representations which are trivial on $\varphi(C)$.

This implies that the restriction map from $\mathcal{M}(S)$ to $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma \times S^1)$ is injective, and its image is $f^{-1}(\tilde{B})$. The conclusion follows from Lemma 6.3, taking into account that the fibers of f are connected. □

6.3 Chern-Simons invariant

For any three-dimensional closed oriented manifold V and $\rho \in \mathcal{M}(V)$ the Chern-Simons invariant of ρ is defined by

$$\mathrm{CS}(\rho) = \int_V \tfrac{2}{3}\alpha^3 + \alpha \wedge d\alpha \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} \tag{38}$$

where $\alpha \in \Omega^1(V, \mathfrak{su}(2))$ is any connection form whose holonomy representation is ρ.

Theorem 6.5. *For any $\rho \in \mathcal{M}(S)$, the Chern-Simons invariant of ρ is given by*

$$e^{i\,\mathrm{CS}(\rho)} = \langle \Theta_A(x), \Theta_B(x) \rangle$$

where $x \in \mathcal{M}(C \times S^1)$ is the restriction of ρ to $C \times S^1$.

The proof is based on the relative Chern-Simons invariants introduced in [RSW89], cf. also [Fre09].

proof (sketch). We can define a relative Chern-Simons invariant for compact oriented 3-manifold V with boundary. To do this we define first a complex line bundle $L \to \mathcal{M}(\partial V)$, called the Chern-Simons bundle. Then for any $\rho \in \mathcal{M}(V)$, $e^{i\,\mathrm{CS}(\rho)}$ is by definition a vector in $L_{r(\rho)}$ where r is the restriction map from $\mathcal{M}(V)$ to $\mathcal{M}(\partial V)$. This invariant has the three following properties:

- The fiber of L at the trivial representation has a natural trivialization. If $\rho \in \mathcal{M}(V)$ is the trivial representation, then $e^{i\,\mathrm{CS}(\rho)} = 1$ in this trivialization.
- L has a natural connection, and the section of r^*L sending ρ into $e^{i\,\mathrm{CS}(\rho)}$ is flat.

- If V is closed and obtained by gluing two manifolds V_1 and V_2 along the common boundary, then for any $\rho \in \mathcal{M}(V)$,

$$e^{i\,\mathrm{CS}(\rho)} = \left\langle e^{i\,\mathrm{CS}(\rho_1)}, e^{i\,\mathrm{CS}(\rho_2)} \right\rangle$$

  where ρ_1 and ρ_2 are the restrictions of ρ to V_1 and V_2 respectively.

In our case, the pull-back of the Chern-Simons bundle of $\mathcal{M}(C \times S^1)$ by the projection $M \to \mathcal{M}(C \times S^1)$ is the prequantum bundle L_M, cf. [CM15b]. Furthermore the image of the restriction maps from $\mathcal{M}(D \times S^1)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma \times S^1)$ to $\mathcal{M}(C \times S^1)$ are respectively $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{B}$. We conclude by lifting everything to M and by using that Θ_A and Θ_B are flat and satisfy $\Theta_A(0) = \Theta_B(0)$. □

References

[AH12] J. Andersen and B. Himpel. The Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants of finite order mapping tori II. *Quantum Topol.*, 3(3-4):377–421, 2012.

[And13] J. Andersen. The Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants of finite order mapping tori I. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 681:1–38, 2013.

[BW05] C. Beasley and E. Witten. Non-abelian localization for Chern-Simons theory. *J. Differential Geom.*, 70(2):183–323, 2005.

[Cha06] L. Charles. Symbolic calculus for Toeplitz operators with half-form. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 4(2):171–198, 2006.

[Cha10] L. Charles. On the quantization of polygon spaces. *Asian J. Math.*, 14(1):109–152, 2010.

[Cha11] L. Charles. Torus knot state asymptotics. *ArXiv e-prints*, July 2011.

[Cha16] L. Charles. Asymptotic properties of the quantum representations of the mapping class group. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 368(10):7507–7531, 2016.

[CJ15] L. Charles and L. Jeffrey. Torsion and symplectic volume in Seifert manifolds. *ArXiv e-prints*, October 2015.

[CM15a] L. Charles and J. Marché. Knot state asymptotics I: AJ conjecture and Abelian representations. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 121:279–322, 2015.

[CM15b] L. Charles and J. Marché. Knot state asymptotics II: Witten conjecture and irreducible representations. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, 121:323–361, 2015.

[DH82] J. J. Duistermaat and G. J. Heckman. On the variation in the cohomology of the symplectic form of the reduced phase space. *Invent. Math.*, 69(2):259–268, 1982.

[FG91] Daniel S. Freed and Robert E. Gompf. Computer calculation of Witten's 3-manifold invariant. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 141(1):79–117, 1991.

[Fre09] D. S. Freed. Remarks on Chern-Simons theory. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 46(2):221–254, 2009.

[Han05] K. Hansen. Analytic asymptotic expansions of the Reshetikhin–Turaev invariants of Seifert 3-manifolds for SU(2). *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints*, October 2005.

[Hik05] K. Hikami. On the quantum invariant for the Brieskorn homology spheres. *Internat. J. Math.*, 16(6):661–685, 2005.

[HT02] S. K. Hansen and T. Takata. Quantum invariants of Seifert 3-manifolds and their asymptotic expansions. In *Invariants of knots and 3-manifolds (Kyoto, 2001)*, volume 4 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 69–87 (electronic). Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2002.

[Jef92] L. C. Jeffrey. Chern-Simons-Witten invariants of lens spaces and torus bundles, and the semiclassical approximation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 147(3):563–604, 1992.

[Jef94] Lisa C. Jeffrey. Extended moduli spaces of flat connections on Riemann surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, 298(4):667–692, 1994.

[KK93] J. B. Keller and C. Knessl. Asymptotic evaluation of oscillatory sums. *European J. Appl. Math.*, 4(4):361–379, 1993.

[LR99] R. Lawrence and L. Rozansky. Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants of Seifert manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 205(2):287–314, 1999.

[MW01] E. Meinrenken and C. Woodward. Canonical bundles for Hamiltonian loop group manifolds. *Pacific J. Math.*, 198(2):477–487, 2001.

[Roz95] L. Rozansky. A large k asymptotics of Witten's invariant of Seifert manifolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 171(2):279–322, 1995.

[Roz96] L. Rozansky. Residue formulas for the large k asymptotics of Witten's invariants of Seifert manifolds. The case of SU(2). *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 178(1):27–60, 1996.

[RSW89] T. R. Ramadas, I. M. Singer, and J. Weitsman. Some comments on Chern-Simons gauge theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 126(2):409–420, 1989.

[RT91] N. Reshetikhin and V. G. Turaev. Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups. *Invent. Math.*, 103(3):547–597, 1991.

[Wit89] Edward Witten. Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 121(3):351–399, 1989.